

Cover Sheet for Informant Report or Material
FD-306 (Rev. 9-30-69)

TO: SAC, NEW YORK (100-147372) (42)
FROM: SA R.O. JOHNSON (44)
SUBJECT: PROGRESSIVE LABOR PARTY
IS - PLP

Date prepared

11/19/70

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Date received

11/3/70

(U)

Received from (name or symbol number)

Received by

SA R.O. JOHNSON

b7D

Method of delivery (check appropriate blocks)

☐ in person

☐ by telephone

☒ by mail

☐ orally

☐ recording device

☐ written by Informant

If orally furnished and reduced to writing by Agent:

Date

Dictated

to

Transcribed

Authenticated
by Informant

Date of Report

Date(s) of activity

Brief description of activity or material

Report on the National Committee of the
Progressive Labor Party.

File where original is located if not attached

b7D

* INDIVIDUALS DESIGNATED BY AN ASTERISK (*) ONLY ATTENDED A MEETING AND DID NOT ACTIVELY PARTICIPATE.
VIOLENCE OR REVOLUTIONARY ACTIVITIES WERE NOT DISCUSSED.

☐ Information recorded on a card index by _____ on date _____

Remarks:

A copy is attached.

All necessary action taken.

7 - New York

1 - [redacted] (INV) [redacted] (44)

1 - 100-163303 (COINTELPRO) (42)

1 - 100-165018 (NLM - SECURITY MEASURES) (42)

1 - 100-165015 (NLM - LABOR) (42)

1 - 100-165010 (NLM - RACE RELATIONS) (42)

1 - 100-118174 [redacted] (42)

1 - 100-147372 (42)

b6
b7C
b7D

ROJ:flb
(7)

100-163303-336
Block Stamp

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED
NOV 19 1970

b6
b7C

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Classified by 5518
Exempt from GDS, Category 2
Date of Declassification Indefinite
WM 4/3/78

OUR POSITION ON CHINA

Marxism-Leninism is the basic and most significant, profound science. Not only is it the summation of all of society's experiences; it reveals the laws underlying all phenomena. All other sciences can only be mastered through the application of Marxism-Leninism.

M-L is very much the discovery of Marx, Engels, Lenin, Stalin and Mao (MELSM). Their discoveries were reflections of activities by the masses, activities they themselves participated in and led. By analyzing the practical activities of the masses during their own time and historically, these giants of M-L were able to discard the old and the wrong and clarify what was right and coming into existence. Because of the discovery of M-L the mass of the people -- the international working class -- have entered the stage of liberating themselves and moving themselves forward to a new stage in history. None of this would have been possible without the leadership of Marx, Engels, Lenin, Stalin and Mao.

The Chinese CP and Mao have played a tremendous role in rescuing M-L from the hands of the revisionists in this present period. The Cultural Revolution (CR) is a tremendous advance in fighting against the restoration of capitalism by bringing M-L to the masses and making it their property. We have much to learn from this. We in the PLP are struggling to apply this body of knowledge and the lessons of those before us who have applied and developed it. In fact, without the contributions of MELSM we would not even be in a position to try to advance the positions we do today. We are, in fact, saying nothing very much that has not been said before by these five giants of M-L. However, we must support the CR only with reservations. For example, in February of 1969, we published an article in PL Magazine (Vol. 6, No. 6) entitled HINTON RE-EXAMINES "FANSHEN" which was a complete fabrication and falsification of the truth. It was manufactured in China and given to the liberal William Hinton for publication in the U.S. in order to delude true Marxist-Leninists. Liu Shao-chi was always a true revolutionary. As we said in 1967, the unprincipled attacks on Liu Shao-chi indicate why we cannot unreservedly support the present Chinese leadership. In this article there is an unprincipled use of quotations by Liu Shao-chi taken out of context to distort their true meaning. We have taken this book off the shelves at China Publications.

We have learned from them (MELSM) that M-L is not a dead science but a living one. Each period must learn from the experiences and lessons of the previous one, as well as from its own work, and advance the science. The Chinese drew lessons from the Soviet experience in launching the CR. We MUST draw lessons from recent history. That is the only M-L path. While MELSM are the greatest Marxist-Leninists, they are not infallible. No one is. Infallibility and talism are non-M-L concepts. Mao's portrayal of himself as the new Buddha, who is infallible, is not helping the Chinese masses to build socialism. And now the new Buddha is telling the whole world revolutionary movement that NATIONALISM CAN BE PROGRESSIVE AND REVOLUTIONARY.

It doesn't take much insight to see that in the last two decades M-L forces and the masses have suffered major reversals. The Soviet Union and the E. European nations, with the lone exception of Albania, have been turned around into capitalist (fascist, imperialist) states. This has led to great suffering for the working class in these countries and has been a body blow to workers everywhere. In W. Europe and the U.S. the C.P.s were tamed after W.W.II. Resistance forces in Europe mostly turned in their guns for portfolios. They had fought Hitler only to build a world in which the Hitlers would be stronger and more in control.

...Africa and Latin America we see another series of defeats. The massacre of millions in Indonesia; the development of revisionism in Cuba, Vietnam, Korea; the failures of national liberation struggles elsewhere (Algeria, Philippines, Malaya); the overthrow of "friends" of China in Ghana, etc. In China itself Mao tells us that the dictatorship of the proletariat (DP) was almost destroyed; that the head of State and general secy. of the Party were capitalists and that they had a base. Of all countries, only China and Albania have the dictatorship of the proletariat. Based on the DP China is the bulwark against imperialism. However, that too cannot be taken for granted. It can be reversed by nationalism and its twin, revisionism.

M-L teaches us that through criticism and self-criticism the working class and its party can correct mistakes and turn a bad thing into a good thing. These recent reverses haven't brought M-L into question. Quite the contrary. The international M-L movement must make the hard analysis now of what the past mistakes have been and correct them. Thus, through the lessons learned M-L becomes stronger than ever.

NATIONALISM AND NEW DEMOCRACY

Today, basing ourselves on what we have learned from Mao, and on this basis examining the practical activity of revolutionaries over the past generation and our own experiences as PLM and PLR, we have come to a disagreement with the Chinese over one important aspect of revolutionary strategy -- the universal significance of the so-called new democracy, and whether nationalism can be revolutionary.

We hold that nationalism in all its forms is reactionary. We do not look to a so-called progressive section of the national bourgeoisie to ally with. We have seen historically that alliances with the bourgeoisie do not work. Marxist-Leninists must instead integrate with the masses of the people, mainly the working class. They must base themselves on the needs and aspirations of the working class, which is for socialism.

Revisionism and nationalism go hand in hand. Revisionists and all imperialists use nationalism as a tool to destroy proletarian internationalism and the fight for socialism. Nationalism is a main factor in building a base for the restoration of capitalism. Thus the growth of nationalism in the USSR and its use during WWII ("defend the motherland") paved the way for the revisionists and for the return of capitalism.

Nationalism, new democracy and the united front line -- the alliances with the bourgeoisie -- prohibit all-out struggle against the bourgeoisie and against revisionism. Thus they pave the way to working class defeat and to revisionist victory. In France, following this united front line of "all out for the war effort," the resistance movement -- led by the CP -- fought the nazis all-out and then surrendered to DeGaulle and to revisionism. In Vietnam there is no struggle against revisionism or against the national bourgeoisie. The NLF-CP program, following the line of new democracy (though Mao had an independent army) calls for neutrality, trade with the U.S. and "democracy." Socialism is left out. The bourgeoisie never stops its struggle for capitalism, but the working class is supposed to stop its struggle for, and even advocacy of, socialism. That is supposedly for a "second stage." The Chinese never won anything by putting forth the line "unite with the Kowintang" -- (it was the Red Army led by the working class that won -- not the phony UNITY line). There is no historical proof in either Chinese literature or Western bourgeois literature that the Kowintang EVER became a force against Japanese imperialism during WWII when Mao made an alliance with them. It was seen from the Shanghai massacre that it was never alright to unite with the Kowintang. Thousands of workers were slaughtered. Under no circumstances could a Marxist-Leninist EVER consider uniting with such non-

The Koumintang NEVER helped the revolutionary forces in China. They should have been annihilated along with the Japanese Imperialist Army which occupied China at that time.

Vol. 3 p. 306 in the Selected Works of Mao Tse-tung shows quite clearly Mao's wrong line pushing bourgeois nationalism and appealing to the basest cultural interests of the peasantry. The deals that Mao made with the nationalist bourgeoisie at that time made the CR necessary.

NEW DEMOCRACY = "UNITED FRONT AGAINST FASCISM"

The new democracy line isn't much different from the "united front against fascism" line: ally with the "progressive" national bourgeoisie against the "main" enemy. We can see that at work right now at the Panther - CP conference: unity with "progressive" sections of the bourgeoisie -- i.e. Black cop groups, support for politicians who will "oppose fascism," etc. This line is totally intertwined with the CP revisionist line here. The UFAF conference didn't debate "ideology," Bobby Seale said. What that meant was that socialism couldn't be raised. In its place WAS an ideology: the only other one that exists today -- capitalism.

The same line comes forth in the working class movement, for example, in the CP line of unity with the Reuthers, Livingstons and Bridges against Meany ("the reactionary"). He's the lesser of two evils. That's the first stage. Later we can fight the Reuthers. The same holds with the line of unite with the "5th Ave. Peace Parade Committee." Spock and Bobby Kennedy who "oppose the war in Vietnam." This is, in fact, the new democracy - UFAF line being applied to the concrete situation here. It reflects itself in support for a Carmichael, Rap Brown or Robt Williams -- Robt. Williams who stepped right off the boat from "Red China" and into the House Un-American Activities hearing room to proclaim his anti-communism openly and to disassociate himself from his previous use of revolutionary rhetoric. Hot lips Williams, Mao's right-hand man and Castro's right-hand man before that. Now he's Senator Eastland's right-hand man and he's spilling his guts. Robert Williams was the blood and guts super-nationalist. All this leads to defeat for the working class. There is no "second stage."

The advocacy of new democracy led to the Indonesian comrades relying on the bourgeoisie and getting slaughtered. They could not and did not carry out a campaign to expose Sukarno -- the most "progressive" of all the progressive bourgeoisies -- they built him up as the people's leader. When the chips were down he abandoned them for HIS class interests. It is hard to believe that Mao who wrote many articles on how the NATIONALISTS had betrayed the Chinese Revolution (see "TEN DEMANDS ON THE KOUINTANG", Selected Works of Mao Tse-tung, Vol. 2, p. 395, written in 1940) could have encouraged the Indonesian communists to "unite" with the Sukarno PROGRESSIVE NATIONALISTS. The same PROGRESSIVE NATIONALISTS who taught Sihanouk how to hold hands with the CIA. The Indonesian PROGRESSIVE NATIONALISTS sacked Sukarno and slaughtered hundreds of thousands of communists with Soviet made guns. There is a historical lesson to be learned from all this: REVISIONISM AND NATIONALISM GO HAND IN HAND.

In the last 20 years revisionism has grown much stronger and operates through the working class movement. Thus we have learned that it is that much more important to fight it all out and in all its forms from the beginning. That can't be done without fighting all forms of nationalism and collaboration with the bourgeoisie. These are the hard lessons that have been learned by Marxist-Leninists in struggle of the last 2 decades. We cannot avoid these lessons and still win.

In the U.S. the CP said "all out for the war effort to defeat the main enemy, the brutal nazis who want to kill the Jews and destroy the Soviet Union." What

The U.S. imperialists are more powerful and imperialist than the nazis ever were. Neo-nazism is strong in Europe today. The Soviet Union is capitalist-fascist. The CP in the U.S. and Europe sold out the people. After WWII the U.S. CP was set up for defeat by its "allies" in the U.S. government and the Democratic Party. What's the lesson here?

The lessons in all these struggles is that we cannot ally with the bourgeoisie. We must fight them. We must integrate with the working class and fight for socialism. We must carry on an all-out struggle against revisionism and nationalism.

THE CHINESE C.P. POSITION

The Chinese have a different position. For example, they are now hailing the reactionary Pakistan govt. They say -- in private -- that it may be bad, but since it is willing to "oppose" India and the U.S., it has a progressive national leadership. But the Pakistan govt and the ruling class are terrible oppressors of the people. They must be destroyed and replaced with a socialist state for the people to be free. How can Marxist-Leninists in Pakistan oppose their ruling class when China is hailing them? Are Pakistani M-L supposed to ally with their ruling class? In fact, that is the line of new democracy. To prepare a nation for the onslaught of imperialism is one thing; to REVISE M-L to save one's own position is another. Mao is old and has fought courageously for years and does not wish to see HIS revolution go down the drain and is presently reaching out to every and any national leader no matter how corrupt and reactionary those leaders may be, because of this EXPECTED onslaught by imperialism.

The Chinese line of allying with so-called progressive nationalists around the world has led to many set-backs for the CPC and the international working class -- from Sukarno, to Korea to Nkrumah to Sihanouk. How many times does this have to happen until it is stopped? In these countries M-L must rely on the people and base themselves among them. These nationalist leaders will sell the working class out every time. And during that period of alliance the people aren't educated about M-L; thus the struggle is set back and must be rebuilt.

We sometimes have to make concessions. Lenin made concessions to the bourgeoisie during the New Economic Policy period. That is a tactical question. But the Chinese are raising that tactical point to a strategy. China is losing its revolution to nationalism because it depended (and depends today) too much on the peasantry. Read what Lenin has to say about the peasantry. It may have been alright in the beginning. But now, in China, they are being used to suppress the working class.

WE MUST BUILD AN INTERNATIONALIST BASE AMONG THE WORKERS HERE

The first priority for all M-L must be the international working class. We must build a base here among the working class. We must learn how to relate the struggles of workers here to the struggles of workers all over the world. An example is among U.S. merchant seamen who are set against their brothers in other countries through use of the latter's lower wages and worse conditions on "foreign flagships" to drive down seamen in the U.S. Many other examples must be fought around. We also must put forth socialist agitation more; we must base ourselves among and rely on the working class. If we don't we'll have no place to go but the bourgeoisie.

At the same time, the advocacy of one-stage socialist revolution must not lead to a sectarian position of rejection of principled reform struggles and the building of left-center coalitions. But they must not be based on alliance with the "good" bosses or "lesser evils" in the t.u.

We support the anti-imperialist movements of oppressed peoples. But we do so through struggling to raise them to the fight for socialism. We fight to transform them into working class movements and do not go along with nationalist movements. We are communists. If we don't do this, who will? And if it isn't done, then the anti-foreign imperialist movement not only is exchanged for domestic oppressors but paves the way for the quick return of U.S. imperialism or its full or partial replacement by Soviet imperialism, through the national bourgeoisie who will follow their class interests everytime.

We must learn from the experiences of Marxist-Leninists throughout the world. We should be elated by the lessons we draw, not demoralized. Nothing the capitalists and revisionists would like more for us to say than, "Well, if we're saying everyone else has made so many mistakes, how are we ever going to do anything?" M-L is a living science. It is the theory that will lead the working class to power and freedom. But it is a hard road. M-L is in the early stages of struggle. Capitalism has had centuries. Revolution, as Mao says, is no tea party. Build a socialist base among the working class! Fight for socialism!

NEW LEFT VERSUS OLD LEFT: LENINISM VERSUS NATIONALISM

Lenin was the best of the New Left meeting the best of the Old Left of his time. His struggles against leftwing political rivals were fierce and unrelenting. In most of these key battles, he was a better Marxist than all of his rivals. In a very few key battles, Lenin himself admits that he was wrong. Although Lenin, in the beginning of his career, was harder and truer than all his opponents, he had a tendency to be too democratic or, let's say, even liberal in his treatment of certain opponents and issues. He talked about coddling nationalism so much that he had openly to repudiate the idea that he was at all (theoretically or practically) in favor of nationalism. He spoke of the formula NATIONAL IN FORM, WORKINGCLASS IN CONTENT -- as a concession in itself. This concession was made because Lenin felt it would take many years for formerly oppressed nations to fully trust the Great Russian oppressors. The Soviet Union today is proof that oppressed nationalities should not be unrestrainedly trustful of even the first state to call itself a democratic workers republic. We mean the USSR -- mother Russia! And not only did nationalism survive in Russia and help to destroy the party, but racism, the racism of the Great Russians has helped raise the destruction to the level of re-introducing capitalism.

Yes, Russia now has all of the political components of old MOTHER RUSSIA, updated to the electronic age, polished and painted red all over. Russia is a modern prison of nations. And the racism of the Great Russians caused nationalism to flourish in the once socialist republics in Eastern Europe. Tito is no longer unique for the National Communist road he took. The Soviets under Khrushchev followed suit and also welcomed the reintroduction of capitalism. And the fact that Khrushchev told the oppressed people of the world that they (the oppressed) could not make the spark that might light a brush fire in pursuit of liberation speaks for itself. He wanted Eastern Europe and Asia, Africa and Latin America to supply raw materials and to become purchasers of Russia's manufactured goods. Khrushchev and Russia's present leaders wanted their own colonies. This was not so under Stalin, but it became so under Khrushchev! If not for Stalin and his struggle to carry forth Leninism, the Soviet Union would have long ago returned to the capitalist road.

It was an uphill battle for both Lenin and Stalin considering that they had to fight a host of internal enemies and traitors inside the Soviet Union, in the party and in the state apparatus. Stalin has a bad history of revolutionary excesses, but his real and fundamental contributions to the continuation of Leninism still

...through these excesses. the people of the world today whether they would rather see the USSR as it was under Stalin than the way it has become under the present capitalist leaders! Ask the Vietnamese! Stalin would have insisted on the subordination of all other interests to internationalism. He would have insisted on the industrialization of all socialist nations everywhere in the world. He would have insisted on increasing the proletarian forces in all socialist countries. He did not and would not have foisted upon the Eastern European states the kind of enslavement which caused the capitalist takeover of Hungary and Czechoslovakia. There is no evidence that he would ever have intervened with Russian troops even if there were a take-over by capitalist forces in Eastern European socialist countries. But Stalin insisted that the Socialist countries have a responsibility to industrialize and to carry forward the socialist revolution throughout the world -- and not just selfishly to concentrate on their own well-being.

The Soviets were the first to display national selfishness after Stalin died. They repudiated Stalin and returned to capitalism -- a new electronic capitalism. A capitalism which has degenerated into fascism. Because the dictatorship of the capitalist class without the traditional hypocritical capitalist arrangements for bourgeois democracy is the essence of fascism. Fascism which trades on nationalism and hustles to subdue the world. How can a capitalist USSR which no longer has a dictatorship of the proletariat claim to be THE LIBERATORS OF THE PROLETARIAT OF THE WORLD. You're right -- they can't make this or ANY OTHER claim to liberating anyone -- they are too busy looking for slaves. They can only save the world FOR capitalism.

We have lived to see what Lenin feared most come true. The Soviet leadership represents the worst of the Old Left. The Chinese leadership represents the best of the New Left. We are not speaking in terms of perfection. There is no question about the participation of the majority of the Chinese population in governing that country and in determining what course it should take. This was the meaning of the cultural revolution. China may need another cultural revolution. Who knows? But it is still the best of the New Left.

So today, in America the New Left again meets the Old Left. With the difference that there are more Old Lefties around today than there ever were at any previous time in American history. The capitalists are depending upon them to denude the American working class and its vanguard party. Capitalism has never had so many dedicated leftwing cops as they have today. They seek to kill revolution from within. To destroy the vanguard party. To confuse the working class with militant claptrap. To drown the red flag in red flags. That is why we of the PLP must seek to be even more unrelenting in fighting revisionism and nationalism than even Lenin was. These two weaknesses have always been the main danger to the working class movement in America. We look at many of Lenin's thoughts in this area as mere tactical variants of our own. We see no fundamental contradictions between our position and Lenin's position on the primacy of Internationalism for anyone who calls himself a Marxist. We do not disagree on the primacy of the dictatorship of the proletariat. And like Lenin, we believe that the main enemy of the working class of the world is worldwide imperialism. We are in accord with Lenin on all fundamental revolutionary issues confronting the working class and oppressed peoples of the world. Proletarian revolution is the answer, and, like Lenin, we will not bow down to nationalism and revisionism no matter where they appear. Yes, and if history left us with no other choice, we would rather have entered this new period of heightened revolutionary struggle with Joseph Stalin at the helm in Russia than the present fascists who run the Soviet Union. We would opt for revolution rather than that capitalism, fascism and collaboration exploit the already oppressed peoples of the world.

A majority of the New Left today are either directly supported by the old C P. (the Old Left) or are friends of the old C.P. or are trots, or are one or another

Left kook groups which survived up until now. The Panthers and Lords are supported by the Old C.P. Other segments of the New Left display their own unique versions of nationalism and revisionism. But all-in-all, there is very little that is new about the fundamental revisionist/nationalist foundation of the New Left today. That is why the PLP is the vanguard of the American working class today. We may not be perfect, but we are not capitalists or capitalist lackies.

The loss of Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union to capitalism and to fascism has made the danger of fascism in the U.S. and in many other countries in the world a much more imminent danger. We are therefore bound to see a type of repression in the U.S. which makes McCarthyism seem like a picnic. We are faced with a life or death struggle. And we intend to respond to the situation by using every means left to make revolution. We will organize the working class into an army to make revolution. We will not depend on Warlordism, Anarchism, revisionism or nationalism. We intend to destroy U.S. imperialism.

We support the development of all proletarian-led resistance movements in all of the former socialist countries of Eastern Europe and the USSR. As long as these movements fight for the re-establishment of the Dictatorship of the Proletariat in those countries. We call for a socialist revolution in Poland, Hungary, Czechoslovakia, East Germany and all other former socialist states. We call for socialist revolutions in Asia, Africa, Latin America. We call for proletarian revolutions in all capitalist countries of Western Europe -- and the establishment of socialism in those countries. We call for world-wide revolution and resistance to imperialism and fascism. We call for proletarian-led revolution in America and throughout the whole world. We must not let imperialism and social imperialism consolidate its position. We must prepare to answer fascism in the U.S. with proletarian revolution. But we must do this with a working class army -- and army of the working class -- otherwise, we shall never succeed.

THE MEANING OF THE OLD C.P. ANGELA DAVIS' POLITICAL COUP

For the moment, the C.P. revisionists have snatched the lead in left-wing politics in America. The revisionist old C.P. has caught hold to the Panther's coat-tails and utilized them to project Angela Davis as the perfect national heroine to unite all past and present, open and hiding, revisionist and left-liberal forces. They are succeeding in raising from the grave thousands of ex-communists -- all of them revisionists. Hollywood creeps, the hidden Old Left and the open New Left Hollywood are falling in behind the old C.P.'s revisionist banners. The C.P. is now coming out openly in its own name. It has moved so far to the right that it can hold hands with the Panthers, Lords, Trots, JFK liberals RKK liberals, Soviet revisionists, Guardian libs, peace movementers and literally every segment of the liberal middle class. Not only are they openly working in the name of the Communist Party, but they are calling for a move to the working class. So it is clear that they are going to awaken some of their old revisionist union termites which they still have in the labor movement. These old men are clever. We will have to fight for the working class. We will have to form center-left coalitions in order to win forces and to maintain our identity. The Soviet revisionist fascists are guiding the American C.P. with deft hands. And as of this writing, the Soviet-controlled American C.P. has gained hegemony over the left-to-center movement in the U.S. They now plan to go ahead and utilize the auto strike and other strikes to reactivate their old zombies in the labor movement. Make no mistake about it, we will find them very difficult to defeat. They have cadre who have through this before. And they find attractive the old C.P.'s absolute abandonment of anything (but the name) which smacks of revolution and real communist ideas. They find the old C.P. attractive, because it allows them to call themselves communists.

...but ever risking anything like socialism or a workers' dictatorship. For they know that the CPUSA would never establish anything but a polished up capitalism. We will have to fight for the working class. We are number 2 now --WE WILL HAVE TO TRY HARDER. We will have to give better service than number 1. We will have to serve the people harder. We have to get the football back and make a touchdown.

In essence, we will have to fight "with" and "against" them at the same time. We will have to form center-left coalitions in industry, in the communities and on campuses. We may even have to join in some of their campaigns, like the free Angela Davis Defense League. We will obviously have to either keep quiet or call it a frame-up, like everyone else who is singing to the old C.P.'s baton. It is a despicable position, but it is unavoidable. We will have to break down our sectarianism and go among the masses seeking center-left coalitions. But we must always keep our own identity. The old C.P. finds it fashionable to call itself communist with pride now. They know that any attack upon them will surely only produce more members and more support.

We too must use the bourgeois democratic umbrella which the present movement provides for the left in general. During the present period of reaction, we are going to take advantage of this umbrella while it still exists. Imperialism will wipe it away and establish complete fascism soon. Perhaps around the time of the 1972 Presidential elections, or even sooner. We must help make certain that we stand for maintaining the bourgeois democratic constitutional guarantees.

The old C.P. is openly and publically proclaiming that they are connected with both the planning and the execution of this case. The ruling class is playing the game all the way. They have agreed to make this a test of strength which will, most likely, result in a large and multi-political segment of the left hanging on to Angela Davis's coat tails while the old C.P. and the ruling class play a game of patty-cake with legal bullshit. They are going to project the old C.P. in a bargaining position between the New Left and capitalism. The capitalists know that they can trust the Old Left to stop short of anything that goes outside the constitutional road! The road to a more polished, a more enlightened capitalism! Like their heroes, THE SOVIET REVISIONISTS.

To lose hegemony is to lose the ability to clearly and openly prove that you are the vanguard of the working class -- it ties in with the loss of identity. The old C.P. is fooling a lot of people. Our numbers are going to be comparatively infinitesimal for a long time, because the old C.P. and the rest of the so-called New Left will join forces with the cops AGAINST US. They will become the Lone Ranger's faithful friend TONTO. The Lone Ranger in this case is Imperialism. They (CPUSA) will join together to route us from the unions, peace organizations, and they will spare no effort aiding the cops when we are arrested and brutalized. But they (CPUSA) will make a pretense that it hurts them (CPUSA) almost (note: almost) -- almost as much as it was hurting us (PLP)! The old CPUSA wants to negotiate a NEW DEAL with imperialism. They have gathered the rest of the New Left behind them and are hoping to use the Angela Davis affair to prove their right to replace MARTIN LUTHER KING. Only this time, they'll (CPUSA) do it with a REVOLUTIONARY FLAVOR. And because the economy is sliding into a depression, they (CPUSA) will have to speed this show up. They (CPUSA) have to introduce themselves as bargaining partners with the capitalists by means of the Angela Davis affair. The CPUSA will read their lines on the script, and the imperialists will read theirs. The CPUSA says, "everyone is going to see through it, but we (CPUSA) think they'll (the workers) buy it anyway!" They (CPUSA) did it (sold out) once before and are trying to do it again. And Gus Hall (who just won \$500 in the New York State lottery) is willing to gamble again. GAMBLERS always think they can win. And Gus Hall, the gambler, thinks he can out-merchant the merchants, out-politic the bour-

groups politicians with bourgeois politics like any other practicing capitalist who dabbles in socialist lingo for profit and gain. They (CPUSA) picture themselves as marching at the lead of a Jubilant American working class which has just been duped into thinking that they have won something (like in Chile). They (CPUSA) are going to try to sell the American working class out again.

If we (PLP) don't develop sufficient forces to route these bastards in time, we will have to learn to live an entirely different life, one involving the bitterest of sacrifices in order to gain the forces that we can and must gain today. The CPUSA will lose the gamble this time. The reaction which will follow will test us for every ounce of individualism -- turning it into arsenic -- in an attempt to make us commit political suicide. The question is, will we let the old CPUSA turn this into their 1905, or will we have enough strength to turn this into our 1917 in the Atomic Age. We (PLP) must prepare for 1917, and, even then, our fight has just begun, because we plan to skip the stage that the present Soviet Revisionists represent! Stalin lives! But we must remain flexible, for now we intend to win, and the working class won't share power with anyone!

RULES ON THE HANDLING OF INTERNAL DOCUMENTS

No internal document should leave the hand of the club chairman. No internal document is to be copied on the club level. The NC must receive back precisely the number of internal documents sent out. Documents will be done on special colored papers to discourage duplication. Documents are to be hand-carried to all destinations. When not in use, documents must be kept in a safe deposit box of the club chairman. We must at all costs secure our systems of internal communications. Membership must be immediately advised that we will flatly deny that any internal document which is revealed to the public is ours. If we had wanted these documents published, we would have used our newspaper or magazine. We obviously have a few spies who have been able to get hold of our most recent internal documents and turn them over to the cops, who in turn have used them against us publically. We fully intend to deny that any of these documents are ours. If this outflow of information continues, we will have to re-register our party and purge these weaklings who cannot follow these simple instructions. We must be ever vigilant against spies. We have no intention of willingly exposing the internal life of our party to the scrutiny of cops, the bourgeois press, or the revisionist/nationalist axis. We recognize no obligation to share confidences with our enemies. Failure to abide by the above rules will be cause for immediate expulsion from the Progressive Labor Party. All those on the outside who question our line as a result of having read these documents must be referred to applicable articles in Challenge/Desafio and Progressive Labor Magazine, as a means of understanding our line. In so far as non-party people are concerned, we will stand by our public statements only. Every member must be prepared to counteract the effects of the recent "leaks."

The Party's public position remains as it has been: to advocate our own line, without criticism of the Chinese line by name. China and Albania are the only socialist countries in the world. They remain our friends and comrades. We are in close touch with them and are discussing these questions in a friendly manner with them, indicating that such a discussion is going to take place throughout our Party. They are the centers of the anti-imperialist struggle and the fight for the DP. We have much to learn from them. But we must also put forth what we think is the correct M-L position, on all questions. We will discuss these points with fraternal parties.

Cover Sheet for Informant Report or Material
FD-306 (Rev. 9-30-69)

TO: SAC, NEW YORK (100-147372) (42)
FROM: SA R.O. JOHNSON (44)
SUBJECT: PROGRESSIVE LABOR PARTY (PLP)
IS - PLP

Date prepared

12/2/70

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Date received

11/3/70

Received from (name or symbol number)

Received by

SA R.O. JOHNSON

b7D

Method of delivery (check appropriate blocks)

☐ in person

☐ by telephone

☒ by mail

☐ orally

☐ recording device

☐ written by Informant

If orally furnished and reduced to writing by Agent:

Date

Dictated

to

Transcribed

Authenticated
by Informant

Date of Report

Date(s) of activity

Brief description of activity or material

Report on Criticism of our Party by

Progressive Labor Party. ~~(S)~~ (U)

File where original is located if not attached

b6
b7C
b7D

* INDIVIDUALS DESIGNATED BY AN ASTERISK (*) ONLY ATTENDED A MEETING AND DID NOT ACTIVELY PARTICIPATE. VIOLENCE OR REVOLUTIONARY ACTIVITIES WERE NOT DISCUSSED.

☐ Information recorded on a card index by _____ on date _____

Remarks:

A copy is attached.

All necessary action taken.

7 - New York

1 - [redacted] (INV) [redacted] (44)

1 - 100-163303 (COINTELPRO) (42)

1 - 100-165018 (NLM-SECURITY MEASURES) (42)

1 - 100-165015 (NLM-LABOR) (42)

1 - 100-165010 (NLM-RACE RELATIONS) (42)

1 - 100-118174 [redacted] (42)

1 - 100-147372 (42)

b6
b7C
b7D

ROJ:flb
(7)

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

Classified by 5518
Exempt from GDS, Category 2
Date of Declassification Indefinite
6073 4/8/78

100-163303-338
Block Stamp

SEARCHED.....INDEXED.....
SERIALIZED.....FILED.....
DEC 2 1970

b6
b7C

A CRITICISM OF OUR PARTY

by

Progressive Labor Party

b6
b7C

Since our founding convention a number of serious changes have occurred in the world, in our country and in our party. It will be useful to examine some of these developments to see where we are and to help us decide how to improve our work.

The transformation of our Progressive Labor Movement to the Progressive Labor Party was, objectively, a very serious step. Whether we understood it or not, we were telling the ruling class that we were organizing under the banners of Marxism-Leninism in order to crush them. (Certainly the ruling class understands this act.) At the time, our members, in the main, were a group of middle-class students. We had all the characteristics of such a group: rootless and baseless; isolated for the lack of previous political strategy, and sectarian to the core because we had no real working class outlook. Moreover, individualism was rampant, and it expressed itself most sharply in the pathetic way in which many of us lived. We didn't work, we didn't build political bases, and we were generally lazy. Joining the movement or party was still a step toward personal fulfillment, and not a step to defeat the enemy, let alone serve the people.

Our principal strategy, up until the time we became a party, was to rely on open mass agitation for socialism coupled with various activities which were often militant and spectacular, but not always helpful in base-building. Much of this work was useful, because it brought our ideas boldly to the people. Our boldness encouraged others to various levels of defiance against the ruling class. But over-reliance on it helped maintain our isolation from the working class.

The key ideological breakthrough of the convention was posing the question of having a serious party, or having more of the same. What differentiated the two was whether the new party was to be a party of the working class, or whether it would preserve all the same middle-class aspects of other new formations among Black and white student types. We chose to become a party of the working class. For PLP this was a profound decision. Because, to accomplish this meant not a partial transformation of the party, and the individual member of the party, but a total transformation of both. Therefore, when we evaluate our progress during the last few years it must be viewed from this vantage point. What have we done, or what are we doing, to become a real Marxist-Leninist party?

We have a great responsibility to overcome our shortcomings and win a commitment to long, protracted revolution. In the past we tended to leave the matter of evaluation of one another to ourselves in the party. We have partially developed self-criticism and criticism. But even if we developed it fully within the party this would still give us an insular view of one another. In the coming period we should encourage people whom we know personally or work with politically and know personally to give their impressions of us as communists. We should train ourselves to encourage the people's participation in our development. This party would be better oriented to improve its work and the work of its members if we had more insight into what non-party forces thought of us. We should encourage this no matter what the "risks"!

WHAT CANNOT BE HIDDEN

It is impossible to hide our history. Our members, friends and even our enemies know the road we have travelled. If Eldridge Cleaver can turn from a rapist into a revolutionary, then Progressive Labor can change its class roots (by going to the working class) and become the vanguard of the working class. That is why we do not fear to discuss our history and our errors openly.

We were a middle class student organization until about a year ago. We had gone through a number of "ups" in the early '60's, i.e. campaigns on Cuba, Vietnam, etc., which had us riding the high tide of student activity and an exciting (if costly) campaign in Harlem. When we started on our "downs", we at first thought that all we needed was to work harder at more-of-the-same. So, we worked harder on the students; we succumbed to more bourgeois nationalism. And we continued to slide backwards and to lose our identity as a revolutionary organization. We didn't look anything like the vanguard of the working class. We looked more like a radical fraternity of left wing students.

We lost many good people during this period. However, we also got rid of many snakes, who would have poisoned us even if we had turned to the working class sooner. We were wrong up until now. That is what we must preface all of our remarks with when we speak of the new path we have successfully chosen. Oh, yes -- we did take a number of correct positions before now. I could name many of them. But the main position -- the one which counts more than any other -- going to the working class -- this position has just recently been taken. It is hardly a year old in any practical sense.

But, let us start with a key example of how we were wrong. The introduction of bourgeois black nationalism into our (middle class) party did not come from the black section of the party at all. In a very fundamental sense, it began when we embraced Robert Williams. It began in the Monroe days. And it began with white leading comrades who were personally and politically part in the Monroe thing.

Wendy, Jake, Freddy and others took part in the early deification of Robert Williams. The road was easy from there. After all, wasn't it one of the most exciting and newsworthy events on the left at that time? We fought the trots and other groups for influence in Monroe among the people Robert Williams organized. (In later years, we were to treat Robert Williams' anti-communism as if his people had no direct experience with us.) We were not right in those days. We may have been (in some measure) responsible for a certain anti-communism which developed among the equally bourgeois people we knew at that time. We certainly looked nothing like the vanguard of the working class. We were certainly no more than the vanguard of the active students who fought around Cuba, Vietnam, Robert Williams, etc. We embraced the radical student wing of the Civil Rights movement.

The whole bourgeois direction which was chosen by our party came from the lack of a working class base. It has taken us 9 years to correct this error. But the lesson is paying off. It is, however, only after recognizing the basic and fundamental weakness of our former class position, that we can assess the various manifestations of this weakness,

vis-a-vis: bourgeois individualism, the national question, the trade union question, the position on students, the women's question, Vietnam, China, Cuba, revisionism, etc., etc. It is only in this light that we can properly assess the role of each member of the party during this period of decay. We were a bourgeois party for most of our existence up until now. The responsibility for this situation must rest squarely upon the leadership of the party both in a collective sense and in an individual sense. For some of us ARE definitely more guilty than others. We were guilty of circle politics. Our leading body had a family narrowness. We failed to grasp the kernel of Marxism-Leninism for 9 years. We tried to talk like Marxists, but never acted like Marxists. It is of little solace to learn that we were the best of the bourgeois parties on the New Left.

Some of us were in the old party. Some for many years and some for a few years. Those of us who were in the old party (in the leadership) are more guilty than those of the leadership of PL who were not. After surviving the wastelands of the old party, we submitted to any issue that was new, youthful, radical left and newsworthy. Somehow we justified not having a working class base by preaching sermons about it periodically and stressing the need for a dictatorship of the proletariat. As long as we were having our "ups" we didn't care who paid the piper. But when our "downs" rushed upon us, and we found out that we were becoming isolated and that we were suffering a loss of revolutionary identity -- when this occurred, we knew that our lack of a working class base had caught up with us.

It is more than probable that we were the first mentors of the Panthers and the Lords. Our party came out for armed self-defense when most of the black leaders today thought Martin Luther King was the greatest thing going. Only the Panthers went further toward putting that into practice than we did. We didn't know at that time that we were encouraging political WARLORDISM. We didn't know that this WARLORDISM would spread into the Puerto Rican community. Some of our members were among the first to go to jail for advocating armed self-defense. We encouraged early BLACK POWER concepts. We held hands with Malcolm, Williams and Stokely, etc, only later to accuse all of them of anti-communism. They were the early advocates of RACE WARLORDISM. And no one can say that the handful of people -- all of them -- who comprised the black section of our party, had the rest of the Progressive Labor Party hoodwinked. The main injection of nationalism came from white members of our party who had been to Cuba and who had assisted Robert Williams. We share a historical responsibility for assisting in the creation of a political Frankenstein -- political WARLORDISM. The Panthers and the Lords are prime examples. Nationalist Warlords who wave the Red Book! We would have all thought this impossible in 1962 or '63. We laughed at that time when the ruling class press tried to describe us in ways which actually do fit the Panthers and the Lords today. The Panthers and Lords are what the ruling class used to accuse us of being. They used to say that we were going through military training; stockpiling guns and other weapons, rabidly pro-Peking. Even the Soviet revisionists criticised us in this same fashion.

The ruling classes' old horror stories about Progressive Labor must have stuck in the minds of the leaders of the Panthers and the Lords. For they have become the very Bourgeois Frankensteins believed impossible during that earlier era, except in the world of comic book fantasies

created by the bourgeois press and the prosecutor's office. They have become the purveyors of WARLORDISM: a lumpen militarist order which revises Marxism to fit a bourgeois nationalist mentality with capitalist aspirations. Historically, they must take a seat next to the anarchists and bombers: with the added feature that they make a virtue out of being lumpen (coming from a sewer). If we had not watered their bourgeois roots, we would be less responsible for their bourgeois fruits. And wouldn't you know it! In stepped the old CP and all the bourgeois liberals to lead this frankenstein through the jails, courts, Hollywood circuit, etc. and to support their warlordism with movies.

We have learned a bitter lesson. But we have learned that lesson in our youth. We are a young party which has turned to the working class.

WHERE WE STAND TODAY

Our party was threatened with a complete loss of revolutionary identity because of the momentous changes in objective reality which have rushed upon us within the last two years. We were mainly a student party, more or less an expanded student version of aging radical ex-CP'ers. Everything around sounded more militant than we did. The Panthers certainly sound more militant and obviously they seem (only seem) to act more militant. No one in our circle of operations (student and community oriented, rather than working-class oriented) thought we were more militant or revolutionary than the Panthers. A bourgeois circle has bourgeois thoughts! So, we changed a bad position. We have moved to the working class, and we corrected our over-reliance on students at the same time that we corrected our over-reliance on holding hands with certain "good" nationalists. Since we did move to the working class, we have ceased being concerned about winning only battles fought in the bourgeois salons of capitalism. We have discarded the circle mentality that was smothering us. We have thrown off that FAMILY GROUP mentality which has made our politics bad -- just to satisfy our friends. We previously had to pervert our politics so that we could be honored with the pleasure of playing host to a barn full of nuts and perverts of every bourgeois persuasion imaginable. Since we ceased this filthy life and cleansed ourselves of most of the crud (which had collected since 1960), we are winning battles AMONG THE WORKING CLASS. In spite of certain excesses we are winning workers. If our former friends (who now occupy positions in capitalism's bourgeois salons) want to debate with us, they will have to fight on our ground -- IN THE WORKING CLASS. Yes, it is we who have really taken the battle to the people who count -- THE PROLETARIAT. A word which frightens the Panthers as well as the other bourgeois political kooks. Let's test your ideology in the factory. Mr. Cleaver! You feel that only prisoners in jail (lumpens, pimps, male prostitutes, female prostitutes, muggers, rapists, thieves) -- only lumpens -- can be counted on to make revolutions. You have made a cult out of going to jail! Cleaver is living proof that some criminals re-visit the scene of their crime. Cleaver has truly never left jail.

We challenge all our critics who inhabit the bourgeois salons of capitalism to debate us in the factories! We rely upon the working class. We know from our experience with the working class that they will throw out anyone who makes a cult out of going to jail. The working class will

not rely for leadership on a man who steals his television, rapes his daughter, sells drugs to his son, goes to jail because he was caught (after reveling in these crimes for years), then proposes to have seen a vision that told him that the criminal class (lumpens) would be the next great leaders of revolution in America. We do believe that lumpens can be redeemed. But this redemption must come inside the working class. We challenge the lumpen leaders to win the factories to revolution! Go to work! Win workers. Then you will be debating on our ground. We have tolerated the stench of your ground too long. The bourgeois salons of capitalism are not the place to fight. The working class is not in the mood for talk about thieves being better than workers, about unionizing prostitutes, and teaching gay sex education in our schools. Come on, down and get your union local to support the elevation of homosexuality to a state which is equal to motherhood. Take your ideas to the factory. LET THE WORKERS JUDGE!

We came in with a bang and we almost went out with a whimper. We were among the first to hold big demonstrations on the war in Vietnam. Many were arrested. We dramatised that war in all of our publications. We showed the atrocities and put forth a political line which was considered the most radical. But we have lately had to criticize the North Vietnamese for accepting Soviet aid -- holding hands with the revisionists. And because of worldwide revisionism, it is the Panthers and their friends who have been elevated to a position of eminence by North Vietnam. But we believe that the Panthers are on their way out in the U.S.

We came in with a bang and we almost went out with a whimper, until we changed our line to a Proletarian line. We were the first to recognize that China was the leader of the world's forces against imperialism and revisionism. We read and eulogized the works of Mao. We studied the thoughts of Mao. Now everyone is holding up the Red Book. Even the Gay Liberation Front. And we have recently had occasion to criticize our Chinese comrades regarding nationalism and revisionism. They have reacted badly to our criticism. They have elevated the Panthers (and other revisionists and anarchists and outright spies) to a position of eminence in their press. We (PLP) are presently being snubbed by China. But we believe that we should have relied upon the working class all along. So we changed our line to a proletarian line.

Now that we have our feet on proletarian soil, we will dig in and prepare to meet the challenges of worldwide imperialism and its revisionist/nationalist lackeys. Previously, we were threatened with being swallowed-up-whole by each of our campaigns (Vietnam, Cuba, Black Liberation, Robert Williams, etc.) because of revisionism. Now we can campaign from a solid foundation of working class support. We have left the bourgeois salons; we are no longer a student hostel.

SOME CRITICISMS OF MYSELF

While I have been greatly encouraged by the growth of PLP, it still doesn't sustain me, yet, as much as other experiences in my life. These can be summarized in the following way: I have seen all sorts of people "come through" for me and for others under all sorts of difficult circumstances. This is in addition to the general revolutionary sweep in

world, which -- to me -- is still more of an objective phenomenon. I really believe, in my bones, that the workers and students will eventually "come through." I believe in this based on the most limited experiences. Today, our party members have a far greater chance than I did to do more and go much further -- both ideologically and politically (ties with people). They are receiving better training all around. But advance, granted it will happen, must not be self-serving, but in the interests of the people. Fulfillment should come through serving the people. No one in our party has achieved this yet. Nor do many of us grasp this point completely. It is very easy to maintain the values of the ruling class, only obscured by a thin veneer of militancy or self-sacrifice. Some members may view their working in a big factory in the same way that someone puts money in the bank. Working in industry becomes a status symbol within the party rather than another good act in the process of serving the people. Some middle class person may view his living in a slum like the rich view their Park Avenue address. Years ago, if you asked most white or Black C.P. members who lived within two miles of Bedford-Stuyvesant where they lived, they didn't mention the street; they just said "Bed-Stuy." Status -- that's what they're after. It was the "in thing" in the C.P. to live there. This is a hard thing to defeat -- self-serving. I feel that I have a long way to go. I try, with ups and downs, to curb this. I don't feel sure that I'll ever win completely. Now I feel I can still be useful to the party. I believe I will remain so. But this is tied very closely to what everyone else does as well as my own individual effort to improve. In other words, the stronger our base, the more confidence will develop among us all.

This is a very limited attempt at a few self-critical remarks. I could go on. I just didn't want anyone in the party to feel that [redacted] was spouting orders to others. I am part of the process. And I was to underline the entire matter of relations with the people.

b6
b7c

UNDERSTANDING THE DICTATORSHIP OF THE PROLETARIAT

Within the radical movement and within the Black Liberation Movement the key concept to fight for and win is the dictatorship of the proletariat. This is the concept which draws the greatest fire from various forces. But if we are to win this fight for a working class outlook we have to be clear on this question ourselves. There are only two classes in the world today that are capable of holding state power and who actually hold state power: the bourgeoisie or the working class. There are no in-betweens. Any political development is judged by evaluating its class-power implications. And where there have been revolutions we can determine their nature by seeing which class really holds state power. Ask yourself this question: "Who holds state power in Algeria, Ghana, Guinea, Soviet Union, Cuba, Albania?" Only in China and Albania do the workers hold state power. What other class holds it in the others if you agree that it isn't the working class?

Some people think that revolution can be successfully carried out without Marxism-Leninism, that is, without a party, and without the strategy of the dictatorship of the proletariat. There are revolutions and revolutions. There are working class revolutions, and bourgeois democratic revolutions. There are National Democratic revolutions which may or may not be transformed into Socialist revolutions. If they are not, power may simply be transferred from one section of the bourgeoisie

Another. Such is the case of Algeria. The reason why so many people in and out of the movement can be taken in by Debray is precisely because they do not understand this question. Many people in our party don't recognize the counter-revolutionary role of Debray. They can't understand that Castro and company have launched an all-out assault on Marxism-Leninism in this hemisphere. It is hard for people to understand revisionism, if it isn't stamped "made in Moscow or Yugoslavia." But clearly if revisionism is to succeed it must fool the people. Therefore, in each period, in each country, it takes on that coloring most helpful to conceal itself. It trades on the hopes of the people, it builds itself on these hopes, but actually revisionism works against them. Does anyone believe that revisionism openly opposes Mao in China? The red flag is used to obscure the red flag. Mao is "drowned in a sea of Mao." So today the newer variety of revisionism drowns revolution in a sea of "revolution."

How is it done? Can anyone who claims to be a revolutionary come before the Latin masses and oppose armed struggle? The Soviets are completely discredited because of this. So modern revisionism advocates "armed struggle." But the armed struggle is separated from the concept of People's War and becomes mere WARLORDISM. In this way Marxism-Leninism and the dictatorship of the proletariat are opposed. All you need are a few good guys and a gun. Where has armed struggle in and of itself won state power? If that were all that was necessary Al Capone would have been President. Armed struggle is an important aspect of the revolutionary struggle. But it must be based on People's War, the mass line leading to the dictatorship of the proletariat. Revolutionary violence is not limited to terrorism. Revolutionary violence must be a matter the people embrace.

BEFORE YOU START SOMETHING YOU BETTER SEE WHO'S GOING TO FINISH IT

France certainly refutes the notion, which has been spread around by all sorts of forces (sincerely or otherwise) that the working class in capitalist countries is so hopelessly corrupt that it is not going to make the revolution, and revolution can only come in the more oppressed sections of the world, and therefore, our task is simply to somehow or other assist these colonial revolutionary movements. They say we should write off our own working class. This is, of course, one of the biggest victories the international bourgeoisie can accomplish. Nothing would make them happier. Well, I wouldn't say nothing; it would make them very happy if the workers in all the capitalist countries would just stay put, so they would only have to fight colonial workers. Then they wouldn't have to fight on both fronts.

You know, some say "Would you believe that the Progressive Labor Party is going to lead the revolution? Would you believe that?" Everybody would say to himself, "That's pretty funny." Well, it's all right to have a sense of humor about things and to take things in stride but we better understand that that's what we are trying to do! We are trying to destroy the system and we are trying to bring revolutionary ideology into the working class and our outlook is that we can succeed.

But revolutionaries fail and revolutions don't succeed in carrying forward the revolutionary process mainly because of their own short-

comings, primarily because of their own limitations. If this weren't true there would be no point in starting. There would be no point in organizing to smash the system. Because superficially the system appears much stronger than the revolutionary forces; so, if you are taken in by appearances, you will give up the ghost. And, unfortunately, too many of us are still taken in by appearances, although going through the motions of playing revolutionary. After all, it's interesting. It keeps us off the streets. It gives us something to do. It gives some purpose to our lives, it makes us feel noble and that sort of thing. But in our guts all of us question whether it can be done, or whether we can do it. As I said, we'd better take the approach that it can be done, that we are going to do it, and that the only one who can defeat us is ourselves. Nobody is to blame but ourselves.

DEFEAT THE "I" MENTALITY AND DEVELOP THE "WE" MENTALITY

We are involved with other people in fighting the system, and we better not view that arrogantly, or conceitedly or pompously, but as a struggle of life and death. We are at war with the system; the class struggle is not some abstract concept. It is a struggle of life and death. It is a struggle of one class to defeat and to destroy the other one. That is what it is. And that is the struggle we're in. You get into a life and death struggle with your enemy, you better understand that. And you better think accordingly. And you better try to get everyone else to think accordingly because the class forces who are involved besides ourselves are being constantly victimized by the other side and in the long run have to destroy the other side's ability to oppress and repress them. And this is a life and death fight. This is an all-out fight. It's not a partial fight. Because if it's a partial fight, then we're reformists. We can say revolution, we can say a lot of nice things, but sooner or later, we'll stop saying that. Sooner or later the part of us which is reformist will become primary because we really don't think we are in a life and death struggle. . . . We really don't think we are in an all-out struggle with the enemy. We really just think we are doing some good things, and hoping it all works out for the best. We are really thinking that history has its ups and downs but history is on our side, so if we don't do it, some others will come along and they will do it.

Well, that's true. You might draw some comfort out of that, but that's what's known as opportunism. That's what's known as not taking into full account one's responsibilities to the revolutionary process, abdicating responsibility and working piecemeal. And when you do something piecemeal, sooner or later you retard, you hurt the revolutionary movement. In the long run, and maybe it doesn't take so long, the little good that might be done turns into its opposite and becomes counter-revolutionary. So our efforts have to be transformed and made complete.

We have made many changes in the party, and have had many changes in our line and in many of our strategies and tactics. And unfortunately, that's right, in many cases it's taken us too long to make changes. But, nonetheless, there have been changes. And we have to take a protracted attitude, to fight in a sharp, but principled way, from the point of view of really building the party. And if you really want to build the party when you have a difference with it you have to think

...but that because it's not so simple. That's a very difficult contradiction. That's right, I don't say it's simple. But the way to solve it is not to break up the party, to try to make the party become the main enemy, and beat the party. Because that's a very bourgeois attitude.

Well, we have a lot of that in the party. That's bourgeois arrogance, individualism, thinking of yourself over the needs of the party and the people. Sooner or later this brings you into contradiction with the people. If you're working, as all of us are trying to, among the people, you can't be Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde. Your attitudes will appear in your work among the people. You can't be the bad guy in the party and the good guy among the masses; you will put on a little better show. And you will cover it up a little bit because you have a certain kind of political consciousness (political in the Democratic Party sense) and you can keep a poker face and cover it up a little bit. "Oh, he's a nice guy." But we won't work collectively with the masses, because after all, we know everything. What do these dumb people know? All we have to do is tell them. And if they don't do what I want they're stupid.

And that's how seemingly good people become enemies of the working class. They don't sit down and will it, they don't sit down and say "I'm going to screw the workers, I'm going to screw the party, now I'm going to do it." They are usually seemingly good guys who want to do a good thing, who do what they think is right. But really they are not working collectively; they don't give a damn about anybody but themselves. They have an axe to grind, and they are going to grind it.

People come to the convention but they don't necessarily view this convention as a way of solidifying the party. How many of us sat down and thought, "Now what am I going to do at this convention to make the party a stronger party; what am I going to do at this convention to unify and build the party, to strengthen the party?"

GO TO WORK

One of the first steps in this arduous protracted process is simply to go to work. Work at least would give us a greater sense of discipline and put us in touch with people. Work would give us the contact and experiences among the working class which could nourish and expand our political consciousness. Working could be the first real step toward becoming a real party, and not a collection of political playboys. In the beginning we were very timid about pressing this process. Indeed, working was a revolutionary step. As in all processes, distortions developed. Many felt that working for the party was going to work. If you worked for the party this allowed you to work full time among the masses. For a while we had almost as many working for the party as working in factories. By and large, working for the party meant keeping busy to accomplish little or nothing.

After allowing this to go too far, the leadership, laggardly, intervened, and stopped this distortion. Today, most of our members who can or should work are doing so. And, here and there, we have begun initial work toward industrial concentration. That is getting people to work in areas which over the long-run are vital to our per-

ective. All this has shown that our members are willing to start the long process of transformation to become real communists.

Being Marxist-Leninists we know that merely working is not the final solution to problems. With our program of bringing the party closer to the working class we initiated a more comprehensive educational program within the party. All of our people study a little. And most of our clubs have involved non-members in Marxist study groups. Our study reflects our over-all limitations. It is often not concrete nor related to our work. And it usually requires very little from teacher and student. This encourages superficiality and learning by mimicking rather than struggle.

The process of work and study has helped us develop the long-range strategy that political power can be seized in our country only when a significant section of the working class becomes politically conscious. Consequently our immediate strategy is governed by this outlook. Our attempt to develop a worker-student alliance is a manifestation of this. In the Black Liberation movement we recognize that the present level of the struggle is national in form and class in content. We say that national struggle must eventually give way to class struggle, and that in the long run we will try to unite the entire working class.

This report is for inner-party circulation only (including close friends in PL-led study groups and collectives, as outlined). This discussion is private, though it should be raised with small numbers of people close to the Party in study groups and non-Party collectives led by the Party. It should not be shown to others or left around where it can be picked up and read by anyone.

Dec. 23

Comrades:

I read with interest your article on p.2 of the Nov. 1st Challenge-Desafio, which pointed out attempts by the pig establishment to disrupt PL through pbvious forgeries and whatever. I have been interested in things ~~like~~ like this for years.

A word of caution, however. I am convinced that it is a mistake to make the basic assumption that all such filthy devices can be laid at the door of the pigs only. Unfortunately, there are today in PL disruptive elements who are not above such ~~tactics~~ tactics. I also feel that many of these leaflets or comic strips (I have one before me) attacking and distorting the report of the Nat'l Steering Comm. are not police in origin.

I would suggest a little internal investigation is in order - in fact, absolutely necessary. I would further suggest that PL take a good hard look at the current activities of people like [redacted] and others of his ilk. They are out to destroy the party in every respect.

I am, of course, concerned that the bosses and their flunkies will continue to attack our party and the working class but they are the least of our worries. My biggest concern is the traitors in our midst. And, brothers, I for one, am going to help ferret them out.

For this and other reasons, you may know me only as....

A Harlem comrade of many years ..

b6
b7C

FBI

Date: 1/25/71

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via AIRTEL AIR MAIL
(Priority)

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, LOS ANGELES (100-71737)(P)
RE: COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT
WORKER STUDENT ALLIANCE -
STUDENTS FOR A DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY

100-163303-176
2/69

Re Bureau airtel dated 1/11/71.

Referenced airtel instructed that informants who attended the National Convention of the Worker Student Alliance - Students for a Democratic Society (WSA/SDS) at Chicago, during December 1970, be contacted in order to obtain material suitable for COINTELPRO to discredit Progressive Labor Party (PLP) control of WSA/SDS.

[redacted] who attended the convention, returned to attend school at the University of California, Berkeley, immediately upon his return from the convention. He is being debriefed by the San Francisco Office. ~~(S)~~ (U)

b6
b7C
b7D

- 2 - Bureau (RM)
- 2 - Boston (RM)
- 2 - Chicago (RM)
- 2 - New Orleans (RM)
- ② - New York (RM)
- 2 - San Francisco (RM)
- 2 - Los Angeles
- (1 - 100-66519)(SDS)

EGK/jr
(14)

Classified By 6922 - 11/29/74
Exempt from GDS, Category 2
Date of Declassification Indefinite
1/16/75

Return to [redacted]
DATE 7/3/78
~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

100-163303-349
SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED

b6
b7C

Approved: [signature]
Special Agent in Charge

Sent

M

Per

LA 100-71737

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

[] advised prior to the convention that it appeared that travel expenses of individuals considered pro-PLP were apparently subsidized in an effort to pack the convention with people whose votes could be controlled. ~~(S)~~ (U)

b6
b7C
b7D

[] and [], when questioned concerning individuals who attended the convention, advised that most individuals from the Los Angeles area had never previously attended an SDS meeting, which supports the theory that the convention was packed.

b7D

[] also advised prior to the convention that about \$20,000 had been estimated by those planning the air travel at San Francisco as the cost of chartering the plane for the West Coast. ~~(S)~~ (U)

b6
b7C
b7D

It would appear that the superior financing behind the WSA/PLP makes it possible to buy support and remain in power. In the past, the PLP organization in Los Angeles has always had large sums of money, much of which was supplied by PLP member []. It is to be noted that [] receives large dividends from [].

b6
b7C

It is felt that the financing of WSA activities would be fertile ground around which a COINTELPRO might be developed.

Prior to the convention, []

While it is difficult to assess the overall effects nationwide, it definitely had a devastating effect locally. Immediately after issuance, membership in the California State College, Los Angeles (CSCLA) Chapter dropped 50%. Since the convention, only four pro-PLP members are active in the Chapter. The Revolutionary Marxist Caucus at San Francisco, has sent their literature to the source indicating a similar viewpoint. [] was elected a member of the National Interim Committee (NIC) during the last convention. ~~(S)~~ (U)

b6
b7C
b7D

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

LA 100-71737.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

b6
b7C
b7D

The Los Angeles office is planning the following
COINTELPRO activity. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

~~(S)~~ (U)

Unless advised to the contrary, the Los Angeles
Office will proceed with the action against [REDACTED].

b6
b7C

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : SAC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

DATE: 3/24/71

FROM : SA

b6
b7C

SUBJECT: COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT

ReBulet 2/17/71.

Bulet authorized the production and annonymous distribution of a leaflet entitled "SDS - A Remembrance of Things Past".

During the period 3/10-11/71, 105 copies of the above leaflet were mailed to New Left individuals and organizations with emphasis on persons in SDS and the Progressive Labor Party.

No tangible results have been noted to date.

Chief Clerk
Post 3/24/71

100-163303-

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAR 24 1971	
FBI - NEW YORK	

360



5010-108

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

FBI

Date: 4/28/71

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via Airtel

(Priority)

To: SAC, Albany

PERSONAL ATTENTION

From: Director, FBI

COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS)
INTERNAL SECURITY - RACIAL MATTERS

Effective immediately, all COINTELPROS operated
by this Bureau are discontinued. These include:

COINTELPRO - Espionage
COINTELPRO - New Left
COINTELPRO - Disruption of White Hate Groups
COINTELPRO - Communist Party, USA
Counterintelligence and Special Operations
COINTELPRO - Black Extremists
Socialist Workers Party - Disruption Program

In exceptional instances where it is considered
counterintelligence action is warranted, recommendations
should be submitted to the Bureau under the individual case
caption to which it pertains. These recommendations will
be considered on an individual basis.

You are reminded prior Bureau authority is required
before initiating any activity of a counterintelligence nature.

2 - All Field Offices (PERSONAL ATTENTION)

Chief Clerk:

Close Case on this

4/25/71

100-163303

100-161190

366

SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED
APR 29 1971
NEW YORK

FILE STRIPPED
BY AL ON 2/1/77

Sent Via _____ M Per _____

1/8/75

AIRTEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698) (ATTN: SA)

b6
b7c

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

SUBJECT: NEW LEFT
COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM
BUDED: 1/24/75

ReBuairtel to Albany and other offices, 12/24/74.

Work paper, page 4, enclosed with reairtel, in item #2, refers to Los Angeles Airtel, 3/4/69, COINTELPRO-NL (100-449698-26).

NYO unable to locate this document in its files.

The Bureau is requested to further identify this document and to recheck New York as a recipient of a copy thereof.

2-Bureau (RM)

1-New York

CWC:ojb
(4)

[Handwritten signature]

100-163303-368

[Handwritten signature]
[Handwritten initials]

FBI

Date: 1/13/75

b6
b7CTransmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via AIRTEL _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698)

FROM: SAC ST. LOUIS (100-21213) (P)

SUBJECT: NEW LEFT
COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM
BUDED: 1/24/75*New Left - Main file
100-161370 + 10/67
100-158043 + 4/66
Natl. Conf. for
New Politics*

Re Bureau airtel to Albany, 12/24/74.

In accordance with instructions in re
Bureau airtel, the following documents have been
classified Confidential, Category II, Date of
Declassification: Indefinite, by Classifying
Officer 3067 on 1/6/75:

Identity of DocumentRecipients

SL letter to Bureau dated
5/28/68 captioned
"COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM,
INTERNAL SECURITY,
DISRUPTION OF THE NEW LEFT"

Bureau

SL letter to Bureau dated
6/13/68 captioned as above

Bureau

- 2 - Bureau (RM)
- 2 - Chicago (RM)
- 2 - Kansas City (RM)
- ② - New York (RM)
- 2 - San Diego (RM)
- 2 - St. Louis

WJA:kmt
(12)

100-161303-369

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
10 JAN 15 1975	

b6
b7C

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

M

Per _____

SL 100-21213

Identity of Document

Recipients

SL letter to Bureau dated
6/27/68 captioned
"COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM,
INTERNAL SECURITY,
DISRUPTION OF THE NEW LEFT"

Bureau

SL airtel to Bureau dated
7/2/68 captioned
"COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM,
INTERNAL SECURITY,
DISRUPTION OF THE NEW LEFT"

Bureau

SL letter to Bureau dated
10/10/68 captioned
"COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT"

Bureau

SL airtel to Bureau dated
10/15/68 captioned
"COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT"

Bureau
Kansas City
San Diego

SL airtel to Bureau dated
10/4/68 captioned "STUDENTS
FOR A DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY;
IS - SDS"

Bureau (100-439048)

SL letter to Bureau dated
10/23/68 captioned
"COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT"

Bureau

SL airtel to Bureau dated
11/6/68 captioned
"COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT"

Bureau

SL 100-21213

Identity of Document

Recipients

SL airtel to Bureau dated
10/29/68 captioned
"COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT"

Bureau
Kansas City
San Diego

SL airtel to Bureau dated
1/22/69 captioned
"COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT"

Bureau

SL airtel to Bureau dated
2/14/69 captioned
"COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT"

Bureau (100-449698 &

b7D

SL airtel to Bureau dated
12/16/68 captioned
"COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT"

Bureau

SL letter to Bureau dated
3/10/69 captioned
"COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT"

Bureau

SL letter to Bureau dated
3/31/69 captioned
"COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT"

Bureau

SL letter to Bureau dated
7/11/69 captioned
"COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM -
BLACK NATIONALIST - HATE
GROUPS; NEW LEFT MOVEMENT"

Bureau (100-448006)

SL letter to Bureau dated
5/26/69 captioned
"COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM -
BLACK NATIONALIST - HATE
GROUPS; NEW LEFT MOVEMENT"

Bureau
Chicago
New York

157-1259.

SL letter to Bureau dated
6/3/69 captioned
"COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM -
BLACK NATIONALIST - HATE
GROUPS; RACIAL INTELLIGENCE"

Bureau (100-448006)

SL 100-21213

Identity of Document

Recipients

SL letter to Bureau dated
6/27/69 captioned
"COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM -
NEW LEFT MOVEMENT"

Bureau

SL letter to Bureau dated
6/27/69 captioned
"COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM -
BLACK NATIONALIST - HATE
GROUPS; NEW LEFT"

Bureau (100-448006)
New York

SL letter to Bureau dated
9/2/69 captioned
"COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM -
BLACK NATIONALIST - HATE
GROUPS; RACIAL INTELLIGENCE"

Bureau (100-448006)
New York

SL letter to Bureau dated
12/5/69 captioned
"COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM -
BLACK NATIONALIST - HATE
GROUPS; RACIAL INTELLIGENCE"

Bureau (100-448006)

SL letter to Bureau dated
1/19/70 captioned
"COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT"

Bureau (100-449698 &
100-448006)

SL letter to Bureau dated
1/30/70 captioned
"COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM -
BLACK NATIONALIST - HATE
GROUP; NEW LEFT; RACIAL
INTELLIGENCE"

Bureau (100-448006)

SL letter to Bureau dated
2/13/70 captioned
"COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT;
COINTELPRO - BLACK
NATIONALIST - HATE GROUPS"

Bureau (100-449698 &
100-448006)

SL 100-21213

Identity of Document

Recipients

SL letter to Bureau dated
6/19/70 captioned
"COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM -
BLACK NATIONALIST - HATE
GROUPS; RACIAL INTELLIGENCE"

Bureau (100-448006)

SL letter to Bureau dated
9/30/70 captioned
"COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT"

Bureau

SL letter to Bureau dated
10/8/70 captioned
"COUNTER INTELLIGENCE AND
SPECIAL OPERATIONS (RESEARCH
SECTION)"

Bureau (105-174254)

✓ SL letter to Bureau dated
1/12/71 captioned
"COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT"

Bureau

✓ SL letter to Bureau dated
3/30/71 captioned
"COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT"

Bureau

SL airtel to Bureau dated
5/3/71 captioned
"COUNTERINTELLIGENCE
PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS);
INTERNAL SECURITY - RACIAL
MATTERS"

Bureau (100-461605 &
100-461114)

Recipient offices should classify above
documents as to Bureau instructions in re Bureau
airtel.

SL 100-21213

In review of captioned file, St. Louis noticed that the only Bureau document (i.e., Bureau originated) classified in the St. Louis file is Bureau airtel to Albany dated 4/28/71 captioned "COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS); INTERNAL SECURITY - RACIAL MATTERS".

The Bureau is requested to advise St. Louis if the following Bureau originated documents contained in St. Louis files should be classified:

Bureau letter to Albany dated 5/10/68 captioned "COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM; INTERNAL SECURITY; DISRUPTION OF THE NEW LEFT";

Bureau letter to Albany dated 5/23/68 captioned "COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM; INTERNAL SECURITY; DISRUPTION OF THE NEW LEFT";

Bureau letter to Albany dated 5/28/68 captioned "COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM; INTERNAL SECURITY; DISRUPTION OF THE NEW LEFT";

Bureau letter to Albany dated 7/58/68 captioned "COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM; INTERNAL SECURITY; DISRUPTION OF THE NEW LEFT (COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT)";

Bureau airtel to Albany dated 10/9/68 captioned "COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM; INTERNAL SECURITY; DISRUPTION OF THE NEW LEFT";

Bureau letter to St. Louis dated 10/18/68 captioned "COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT";

Bureau letter to St. Louis dated 11/15/68 captioned "COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT";

SL 100-21213

Bureau airtel to St. Louis dated
1/29/69 captioned "COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT";

Bureau airtel to St. Louis dated
2/20/69 captioned "COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT";

Bureau letter to St. Louis dated
3/28/69 captioned "COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT";

Bureau letter to St. Louis dated
1/30/70 captioned "COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT";

Bureau letter to Albany dated
4/8/71 captioned "COUNTERINTELLIGENCE
PROGRAM; INTERNAL SECURITY; DISRUPTION OF
THE NEW LEFT (COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT)";

Bureau letter to St. Louis dated
5/12/71 captioned "MOVEMENT INFORMATION
LIBRARY (MIL), IS - MISC (INTERNAL
SECURITY); BUfile 100-461605; SLfile 105-
7562" and "THIRD WORLD REVOLUTIONARY COUNCIL
(TWRC); IS - MISC (INTERNAL SECURITY);
BUfile 105-461114; SLfile 105-7550"

St. Louis has completed classification
of documents as requested in re Bureau airtel.

1/16/75

AIRTEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698)
(ATTN: SA)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

SUBJECT: NEW LEFT
COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM
BUDED: 1/24/75

b6
b7C

ReBuairtel to Albany and other offices, 12/24/74,
NY airtel to FBIHQ, 1/8/75, and Bureau airtel to NY, 1/13/75.

The project referred to in re Bureau airtel, dated
12/24/74, has been completed in NY.

No additional documents are being classified at NY.

Christ Clerk
Close Date of this
100-163303-371

2-Bureau (RM)
1-New York

CWC:jm
(4) *jm* 3A6
1-#3A6

4
1

1/21/75

AIRTEL

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-448006)
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (100-161140) (C)
SUBJECT: COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM
BLACK NATIONALIST HATE
GROUPS
RACIAL MATTERS
BUDED 1/23/75

ReBuairtel dated 1/17/75 (no copy to St. Louis);
SLairtel to Bu, 1/16/75; and SLairtel to Bu, 1/13/75, captioned
"NEW LEFT, COINTELPRO, BUDED 1/24/75."

Instructions contained in referenced Bureau
airtel have been carried out. It is noted that NY 157-6024
(Paragraph one, referenced Bureau airtel) was consolidated
into 100-161140 on 12/21/70.

Those documents listed in referenced St. Louis
airtels as having copies at NYO have been classified in
accordance with information contained in those airtels.
These documents are:

1. SL letter to Bureau, 5/26/69, "COINTELPRO,
BLACK NATIONALIST HATE GROUPS, NEW LEFT
MOVEMENT";
2. SL letter to Bureau, 6/27/69, "COINTELPRO,
BLACK NATIONALIST HATE GROUPS, NEW LEFT";
3. SL letter to Bureau, 9/2/69, "COINTELPRO,
BLACK NATIONALIST HATE GROUPS, RACIAL INTELLIGENCE."

2-Bureau (RM)
2-St. Louis (157-5818) (INFO) (RM)
(1-100-21213) (INFO)
1-New York (100-163303)
1-New York

GHS:amw
(7)

1-SUPV. # 48

100-163303-372

[Handwritten signature]

NY 100-161140

4. SL letter to NY, 11/15/68, "COINTELPRO,
BLACK NATIONALIST HATE GROUPS, RACIAL
INTELLIGENCE (BPP)."

Tell Case to

[redacted] - FBIHQ on 3/3 - 9AM for
copy of Serial. He will
pull file and send a copy
to desk 46.


b6
b7C



100-148047 (505)(SEDITION)

PH Airtel to Bureau
4/22/69

"Students for a Democratic
Society 15-505; Seditious"



b6
b7C

b6
b7C

The Case from [redacted] at Bureau
10:10 AM 3/4/75:

9 copies of memo (AT + LHM) to NY as follows:

1 - 100-148047 (SDS) No = NOT IN FILE.

1 - [redacted] NOT IN FILE

1 - [redacted] 3/3/75

1 - [redacted] N1 100-90012 NOT IN FILE

1 - [redacted] 3/5/75

1 - [redacted] 3/5/75

1 - [redacted] 3/5/75

1 - [redacted] 3/5/75

1 - [redacted] 3/5/75

AIRTEL

To: SACS, Albany
Baltimore
Boston
Buffalo
Chicago

✓ New York
Philadelphia
San Antonio
WFO

1/29/75

From: Director, FBI (100-449698)

NEW LEFT
COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM

new left
100-161370 * 10/67
100-158043 * 6/66

ReBuairtel to Albany and all offices 12/24/74, and PHairtel 1/10/75 (copy only to Bureau), which suggested the Bureau consider classifying six Philadelphia communications to the Bureau which Philadelphia had located in two files captioned as above.

Enclosed for each recipient is a work paper listing three of these communications which have been classified at the Bureau, and recipients having copies of these communications should classify them per data on the work sheet. For the information of Philadelphia, it is not felt that classification is warranted for Philadelphia teletype to Bureau 12/18/68, Philadelphia airtel to Bureau 3/25/69, and Philadelphia letter to Bureau 9/10/69, and they are not being classified.

Enclosure *mf*

b6
b7C

3/3
copy wire furnished
copy mlf

100-163303-374
SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED
JAN 29 1975

Airtel

2/24/75

To: SACs Chicago
New York
St. Louis

From: Director, FBI (100-449698)

NEW LEFT COUNTER-
INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM

Counter Intelligence Program
100-163303-568

ReBuairtel to Albany 12/24/74 and SLairtel 1/13/75 which indicated St. Louis had classified numerous documents located in its file captioned as above, and requested advice as to whether other documents should be classified:

The Bureau has reviewed its copy of all these documents and the following information and instructions are set out. If a communication listed in referenced St. Louis airtel is not mentioned below, you may assume the Bureau has classified its copy in accordance with data furnished in referenced St. Louis airtel.

The following documents do not warrant classification and are, therefore, to be declassified;

St. Louis letter to Bureau dated 5/28/68.

St. Louis letter to Bureau dated 6/27/68.

St. Louis letter to Bureau dated 10/23/68.

St. Louis airtel to Bureau dated 11/6/68.


St. Louis airtel to Bureau dated 12/16/68.

St. Louis airtel to Bureau dated 7/11/69.

*File requested
2/25 5:00 PM
File is 100-449698-116
per SAC - He
write furnished
w/for furnished.*

*Copy to #48
for handling
under 100-163303-375
- See Ser 372 this
file
2/25*

100-163303-375



b6
b7c

**Airtel to Chicago
New York
St. Louis
Re: New Left Counterintelligence
Program
100-449698**

**St. Louis letter to Bureau dated 5/26/69, copy to
Chicago and New York.**

St. Louis letter to Bureau dated 6/3/69.

**Both St. Louis letters to Bureau dated 6/27/69,
copy of the one concerning Black Nationalist groups
to New York.**

**St. Louis letter to Bureau dated 9/2/69, copy to
New York.**

St. Louis letter to Bureau dated 12/5/69.

St. Louis letter to Bureau dated 1/19/70.

**The following documents were previously classified
"Confidential" at the Bureau and your copies should reflect this
data rather than that furnished by St. Louis:**

**St. Louis letter to Bureau 10/8/70 (Category 2 by
6136 on 12/4/74).**

**St. Louis letter to Bureau 1/12/71 (Category 2 by
6922 on 12/2/74).**

**St. Louis letter to Bureau 3/30/71 (Category 2 by
6922 on 12/2/74).**

**The following documents do not warrant classifications
and are, therefore, not to be classified by St. Louis:**

Bureau letter to Albany 5/10/68.

Bureau letter to Albany 5/23/68.

Bureau letter to Albany 5/28/68.

Bureau letter to Albany 7/5/68.

Airtel to Chicago
New York
St. Louis
Re: New Left Counterintelligence
Program
100-449698

Bureau airtel to Albany 10/9/68.

Bureau letter to St. Louis 10/18/68.

Bureau letter to St. Louis 11/15/68.

Bureau airtel to St. Louis 1/29/69.

Bureau letter to St. Louis 3/28/69.

Bureau letter to St. Louis 1/30/70.

Bureau letter to Albany 4/8/71.

The following documents have been classified
"Confidential," Category 2 by 3347 on 2/5/75 and St. Louis
should classify these copies accordingly:

Bureau airtel to St. Louis 2/20/69.

Bureau letter to St. Louis 5/12/71.

St. Louis should also note that per Bureau airtel
to all offices 1/14/75, "Counterintelligence Program; Soviet-
Bloc Intelligence Services; IS - R," the 4/28/71 Bureau airtel
to Albany, "Counterintelligence Program (Cointelpro); Internal
Security - Racial Matters" is not to be classified, data on work
sheets to the contrary notwithstanding.

2/5/75

Airtel

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-448006)

FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (100-161140) (P)

SUBJECT: COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM
BLACK NATIONALIST HATE GROUPS
RACIAL MATTERS

ReBuairtel to Chicago, New York, and St. Louis, dated
2/24/75.

NYO has, in accordance with referenced airtel,
declassified the following documents:

St. Louis letter to Bureau, dated 5/26/69, captioned
"COINTELPRO, BLACK NATIONALIST-HATE GROUPS, NEW LEFT MOVEMENT".

St. Louis letter to Bureau, dated 5/27/69, captioned
"COINTELPRO, BLACK NATIONALIST-HATE GROUPS, NEW LEFT".

St. Louis letter to Bureau, dated 9/2/69, captioned
"COINTELPRO, BLACK NATIONALIST-HATE GROUPS, RACIAL INTELLIGENCE".

NYO will keep this matter in pending status for a
reasonable time in order to comply with any additional instructions

2-Bureau (RM)

2-St. Louis (157-5818) (INFO) (RM)

(1-100-21213)


1-New York (100-163303) (NEW LEFT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM)

1-New York

GHS:rr

(5)

1-Supervisor:48



NY 100-161140

from other auxiliary offices, or from the Bureau, which may
pertain to this matter.

(Mount Clipping in Space Below)

F.B.I. Reportedly Harassed Radicals

By JOHN M. CREWDSON
Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, March 22—The Federal Bureau of Investigation continued disruptive techniques and harassment against domestic political groups after its controversial counterintelligence program was terminated, according to two former senior F.B.I. officials.

The F.B.I., one of the former officials asserted, was carrying out what could properly be described as counterintelligence both before 1956, when the counterintelligence program, or Cointelpro, was initiated, and after April, 1971, when the program ostensibly was halted by J. Edgar Hoover, then the bureau's director.

The assertions by the two former officials support the accounts of three F.B.I. informants who have told The New York Times of their use of Cointelpro-style disruptive techniques against a variety of radical political groups since 1971.

They also coincide with allegations by the Socialist Workers party, based on F.B.I. documents, that the party has received in connection with a lawsuit, that the Government continued its attempts to disrupt the party and harass its members as late as last year.

Both the Justice Department and the F.B.I. have maintained that Mr. Hoover officially ended Cointelpro in a memorandum, dated April 28, 1971, which stated that "effective immediately, all Cointelpros operated by this bureau are discontinued."

After Spy Program Ended

Possible Exceptions Hinted

The memorandum added, however, that the bureau would continue to consider "on an individual basis" recommendations from agents and field supervisors for counterintelligence action "in exceptional instances."

Clarence M. Kelley, who took over as F.B.I. director in mid-1973, has said that no such counterintelligence operations have taken place during his tenure. But he has expressed a desire for legislation that would give the bureau emergency authority to conduct such operations.

Mr. Kelley said in an interview last year that although the matter was under discussion with the Attorney General's office, the constitutionality of such discretionary authority had proved to be a "very difficult" question that might not lend itself to resolution.

One of the former F.B.I. officials said that Mr. Hoover had authorized the 1971 memorandum ending Cointelpro after confidential documents disclosing some aspects of it were stolen from the bureau's office in Media, Pa., and subsequently made public.

Mr. Hoover, the source said, had acted because of "concern about his image," but he added that counterintelligence operations, some bearing Mr. Hoover's personal approval, were continued in the Bureau's Domestic Intelligence Division.

Following the theft at Media, Pa., Carl Stern, a reporter for NBC, obtained a court order

(Indicate page, name of newspaper, city and state.)

—New York Times

—P.33

Date: 3-23-75

Edition:

Author:

Editor:

Title:

Character:

or

Classification:

Submitting Office:

☐ Being Investigated

SEARCHED.....	INDEXED.....
SERIALIZED.....	FILED.....
MAR 24 1975	
FBI - NEW YORK	

100-163303-377

under the Freedom of Information Act, that allowed him to receive copies of some Cointelpro documents.

Other suits compelling similar disclosures have been filed by the Socialist Workers party, a principal Cointelpro target; Muhammad Kefauver, a black civil rights activist; and others.

Last year, William B. Saxbe, then the Attorney General and now Ambassador to India, ordered the Justice Department to make an internal study of Cointelpro, the results of which he made public in November.

Mr. Saxbe disclosed that between 1956 and 1971, the F.B.I. had implemented Cointelpro efforts aimed at foreign espionage agents, the domestic "New Left," so-called "white" hate groups, the American Communist party, "black extremists" and the Socialist Workers party. A seventh and most secretive category was called "special operations," about which no details have been made public.

The Justice Department's report, written by Henry R. Petersen, then the head of its Criminal Division, claimed some of the Cointelpro techniques "troubling" and "egregious."

The entire report, the report said, had been implemented by the bureau on Mr. Hoover's instructions, but was almost entirely unknown to any of the five secretaries general under whom he served between 1956 and 1971.

Isolated Excesses

In making the report public, Mr. Saxbe called some of the incidents "improper," but characterized them as "isolated excesses" in the bureau's general program to disrupt, confuse and neutralize the political groups in question.

There are indications, however, that Mr. Petersen and his investigators did not have seen all the bureau's Cointelpro documents.

For example, F.B.I. materials obtained by the Socialist Workers party through its lawsuit and made public this week showed that the bureau attempted to discredit John C. Franklin, who was the party's candidate for Michigan's Borough President in 1968, by providing details of Franklin's criminal record to Charles McHarry, then a columnist for The New York Daily News, who published the information.

The report prepared by Mr. Petersen states that there were no instances in the Cointelpro files in which the bureau had disclosed information to "friendly media sources" regarding members of the Socialist Workers party.

In addition, members of the party have submitted more than 50 sworn affidavits that, according to Peter Camejo, its Presidential candidate, concerned "Cointelpro-type" incidents since 1964.

Examples of Cointelpro activities disclosed in the Justice Department report included the following:

The sending by the F.B.I. of anonymous or fictitious materials to political groups or their members that were designed to "create dissension."

and cause disruption."

¶The use of informants to disrupt a group's activities.

¶Notifying employers, credit bureaus and families of individuals "illegal, immoral, radical and Communist party activities in order to adversely affect their credit standing or employment status" or family relations.

Joseph A. Burton, a Tampa, Fla., resident, said in a recent interview that between 1972 and 1974 he worked as a paid F.B.I. operative assigned to infiltrate and disrupt various radical groups in this country and Canada.

Many of Mr. Burton's activities as he described them, including the dissemination of bogus F.B.I. documents to revolutionary groups and establishing a "sham" political group, the "Red Star Cadre," for dis-

ruptive purposes, appeared to fall within several of the categories of activities undertaken by the bureau during its Coin-telepro years.

In particular, he said, his F.B.I. superiors told him in 1974 of the existence of an effort within the bureau to put the Vietnam Veterans against the War "out of business" in the state of Florida.

Two other former F.B.I. operatives, Harry E. Schafer 3d and his wife, Jill, told of similar disruptive activity they undertook at the bureau's direction during the same period.

The Schafers, who used a similar bogus New Orleans front group, termed the "Red Collective," as a base, were interviewed in January in a Southwestern city where they then lived.

They asked at the time that

their names be kept confidential for fear of retribution by leftists, but the couple has since been identified by The New Orleans States-Item and other publications.

Mrs. Schafer said that in early 1973, about three years after becoming an F.B.I. informant, she organized a demonstration in front of the F.B.I.'s New Orleans office to raise money for the militant American Indian Movement, whose members then held the South Dakota village of Wounded Knee under siege.

Because of her efforts, she and her husband were invited by militant Indian sympathizers to come to Rapid City, S.D., to aid the cause of the movement.

At Rapid City, the Schafers said, they set up, with the F.B.I.'s permission, an alterna-

tive fund-raising operation that diverted money from the American Indian Movement to an unidentified group of Indians "who were legitimately interested in the welfare of their own people."

Mr. Schafer, a licensed pilot, said that after the besieged village had been cut off by United States marshals, he accepted a shipment of food and supplies from the Indians and, with the knowledge of his F.B.I. superiors, agreed to fly it from a point in the Middle West and drop it by parachute over Wounded Knee.

But he purposely delayed his arrival, Mr. Schafer said, by reporting "inclement weather" at stopovers along the way, arriving in South Dakota only after the siege had ended and the supplies were no longer needed.

ser-298

WA992

KNR065 WA CODE

10:00PM NITEL 4-14-76 BAS

TO NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM DIRECTOR (100-449698-34-82)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-11) BUDED: APRIL 19, 1976.

RENYLET MAY 18, 1970, AND BULET JUNE 1, 1970.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS). THE PURPOSE OF THE DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW IS TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COINTELPRO ACTIONS WHICH WERE CONDUCTED AGAINST THEM. THE COMMITTEE, AS A RESULT OF A PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THE VARIOUS ACTIONS IN THE COINTELPRO NOTIFICATION PROGRAM, HAS REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR OFFICE.

REFERENCED LETTERS REFER TO THE PREPARING AND ANONYMOUSLY MAILING COPIES OF A LEAFLET DESIGNED TO RIDICULE THE VENCEREMOS BRIGADE, THE GAY LIBERATION FRONT, DAVID TYRE DELLINGER, AND THE NEW LEFT MOVEMENT IN GENERAL.

THE ABOVE COMMITTEE DESIRES TO BE ADVISED IF, IN FACT,

378

100-163303

CL
SAC

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 14 1976	
FBI-NEW YORK	

2

PAGE TWO

LEAFLET WAS MAILED AND, IF MAILED, THE IDENTITIES OF
INDIVIDUALS AND ORGANIZATIONS TO WHOM LEAFLET WAS MAILED.

NEW YORK SUTEL, NOTING BUDED APRIL 19, 1976.

USE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING REFERENCE NUMBER,
WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL
CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS
DESIGNATED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE
COINTELPRO ONLY. YOU SHOULD LIMIT THE INQUIRIES TO
FIELD OFFICE FILES AND NO ACTIVE INVESTIGATION SHOULD
BE CONDUCTED.

END

ser - 269

WA981

NR043 WA CODE

4:21PM NITEL 4-14-76 SJP

TO ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM DIRECTOR (100-449698-34-75)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL - 6); BUREAU DEADLINE APRIL 19, 1976.

RENYLET OCTOBER 10, 1969, AND BULET OCTOBER 15, 1969.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS). THE PURPOSE OF THE DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW IS TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COINTELPRO ACTIONS WHICH WERE CONDUCTED AGAINST THEM. THE COMMITTEE, AS A RESULT OF A PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THE VARIOUS ACTIONS IN THE COINTELPRO NOTIFICATION PROGRAM, HAS REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR OFFICE.

REFERENCED LETTERS REFER TO MAILING OF ANONYMOUS LEAFLET DESIGNED TO WIDEN RIFT BETWEEN "NEW MOBILIZATION COMMITTEE TO END THE WAR IN VIETNAM (NMC)" AND THE BLACK UNITED FRONT.

THE ABOVE COMMITTEE DESIRES TO KNOW IF LEAFLET WAS IN FACT MAILED AND IF MAILED, THE IDENTITIES OF INDIVIDUALS AND

379
100-163303

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 14 1976	
FBI-NEW YORK	

5/10

PAGE TWO

ORGANIZATIONS TO WHOM LEAFLET SENT.

NEW YORK SUBMIT TELETYPE, NOTING BUREAU DEADLINE

APRIL 19, 1976.

USE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE NUMBER,
WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL
CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED
FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY.
YOU SHOULD LIMIT THE INQUIRIES TO FIELD OFFICE FILES AND NO
ACTIVE INVESTIGATION SHOULD BE CONDUCTED.

END

Sta - 219

WA990

NR064 WA CODE

9:40PM NITEL 4-14-76 BAS

TO NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM DIRECTOR (100-449698-34-74)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-5) BUDED: APRIL 19, 1976

RENYLET OCTOBER 2, 1969, AND BULET OCTOBER 8, 1969.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS). THE PURPOSE OF THE DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW IS TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COINTELPRO ACTIONS WHICH WERE CONDUCTED AGAINST THEM. THE COMMITTEE, AS A RESULT OF A PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THE VARIOUS ACTIONS IN THE COINTELPRO NOTIFICATION PROGRAM, HAS REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR OFFICE.

REFERENCED LETTERS REFER TO REPRODUCING AND ANONYMOUSLY DISTRIBUTING A FLIER DESIGNED TO WIDEN THE FACTIONAL SPLIT BETWEEN THE SDS, THE PLP, AND THE BPP.

THE ABOVE COMMITTEE DESIRES NEW YORK FILES BE REVIEWED TO DETERMINE IF, IN FACT, THIS FLIER WAS DISTRIBUTED AND, IF

380
100-163303

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 14 1976	
FBI-NEW YORK	

SAC 4

PAGE TWO

SO, THE IDENTITIES OF INDIVIDUALS AND GROUPS TO WHOM FLIER
WAS DISTRIBUTED.

NEW YORK SUTEL, NOTING BUDED APRIL 19, 1976.

USE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING REFERENCE NUMBER,
WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL
CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS
DESIGNATED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE
COINTELPRO ONLY. YOU SHOULD LIMIT THE INQUIRIES TO
FIELD OFFICE FILES AND NO ACTIVE INVESTIGATION SHOULD
BE CONDUCTED.

END

en 314
341

WA983

NR037 WA CODE

5:11PM NITEL 4-14-76 LXS

TO NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM DIRECTOR (100-449698-34-85)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL - 12); BUDED APRIL 19, 1976.

RENYLET JULY 24, 1970, AND BULET JULY 31, 1970.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS). THE PURPOSE OF THE DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW IS TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COINTELPRO ACTIONS WHICH WERE CONDUCTED AGAINST THEM. THE COMMITTEE, AS A RESULT OF A PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THE VARIOUS ACTIONS IN THE COINTELPRO NOTIFICATION PROGRAM, HAS REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR OFFICE.

REFERENCED LETTERS REFER TO REPRODUCING AND ANONYMOUSLY MAILING A LEAFLET ENTITLED "NEWSREEL FILMS." THE ABOVE COMMITTEE DESIRES TO KNOW IF IN FACT LEAFLET WAS ACTUALLY MAILED AND IF MAILED, THE IDENTITIES OF INDIVIDUALS AND ORGANIZATIONS TO WHOM LEAFLET WAS SENT.

38/

100-163303

5/10

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 14 1976	
FBI-NEW YORK	

A

PAGE TWO

SUTEL , NOTING BUDED APRIL 19, 1976.

USE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE NUMBER, WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY. YOU SHOULD LIMIT THE INQUIRIES TO FIELD OFFICE FILES AND NO ACTIVE INVESTIGATION SHOULD BE CONDUCTED.

END

FBI

Date: 4/16/76

125

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE NITEL
(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698-34-85)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-12); BUDED APRIL 19, 1976.

REBUTEL, APRIL 14, 1976.

COMPLETE REVIEW OF NYO COINTELPRO-NEW LEFT ^{file} REFLECTS LAST
REFERENCE TO THE PROPOSED MAILING OF A LEAFLET CONCERNING
"NEWSREEL FILMS" WAS AN NYO LETTER TO FBI HEADQUARTERS, DATED
MARCH 30, 1971, WHICH INDICATED THIS MAILING WAS BEING HELD IN
ABEYANCE. THERE ARE NO FURTHER REFERENCES TO THIS MAILING
IN SUBSEQUENT COMMUNICATIONS NOR IN NEW YORK CASE# CAPTIONED
"THE NEWSREEL, IS-RA" (NY 100-162668, BUFILE 105-180265), WHICH
INDICATES IN FACT THIS LEAFLET WAS EVER ACTUALLY MAILED.
END.

1 - NEW YORK
1 - SUPV. #47

RTR:crh
(2) *crh*

382
100-163303

SEARCHED _____	INDEXED _____
SERIALIZED _____	FILED _____
APR 16 1976	
FBI - NEW YORK	

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

M

Per _____

FBI

Date: 4/16/76

026

Transmit the following in CODED
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE NITEL
(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698-34-75)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-6); BUREAU DEADLINE APRIL 19, 1976

REBUREAU TELETYPE TO NEW YORK, APRIL 14, 1976.

NEW YORK COINTELPRO, NEW LEFT FILE REFLECTS THIS LEAFLET WAS MAILED TO SEVENTY FIVE SELECTED INDIVIDUALS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN THE NEW YORK CITY AREA, DURING THE PERIOD OCTOBER 24, 1969, THROUGH NOVEMBER 14, 1969. IDENTITIES OF RECIPIENTS NOT INDICATED.

BY LETTER DATED OCTOBER 24, 1969, NEW YORK OFFICE FURNISHED FIFTY COPIES OF THIS LETTER TO WASHINGTON FIELD FOR ANONYMOUS MAILING.

OTHER APPROPRIATE NEW YORK FIELD FILES REVIEWED AND NO RECORD OF LEAFLET, IDENTITY OF INDIVIDUALS AND/OR ORGANIZATIONS TO WHOM LEAFLET SENT.

END.

- ① - NEW YORK
1 - SUPV. #48

RTB:tfb
(2)

[Handwritten initials]

Searched _____
Serialized _____
Indexed _____
Filed _____

100-163303-383

Approved: *[Handwritten signature]*Sent: *[Handwritten signature]*

M

Per: *[Handwritten signature]*

FBI

Date:

4/16/76

023

Transmit the following in _____

CODED

(Type in plaintext or code)

TELETYPE

NITEL

Via _____

(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698-34-74)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

COINTELPRO-NEW LEFT (NL-5)

RE BUREAU NITEL, APRIL 14, 1976.

REVIEW OF NEW YORK OFFICE (NYO) FILES REFLECT THAT DURING PERIOD OCTOBER 15 TO 24, 1969, THIS FLIER WAS MAILED TO 280 SELECTED INDIVIDUALS AND ORGANIZATIONS IN THE NEW LEFT MOVEMENT ^{BUT} ~~THAT~~ IDENTITY OF RECIPIENTS NOT INDICATED IN NYO FILES.

END.

① - NEW YORK

RJR:msb
(2)

1 - SUPV.40

100-163303-384

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 19 1976	
FBI - NEW YORK	

b6
b7c

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

M

Per _____

FBI

Date: 4/16/76

024

CODE

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via TELETYPE NITEL

(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698-34-82)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

COINTELPRO-NEW LEFT (NL-11) BUDED; APRIL 19, 1976.

REBUTEL TO NEW YORK, APRIL 14, 1976, WHICH IN PART, SETS FORTH THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY CONCERNING THE DISCONTINUED COINTELPROS, AND INSTRUCTED THAT FILES ON THE VENCEREMOS BRIGADE, THE GAY LIBERATION FRONT, DAVID TYRE DELLINGER, BE REVIEWED FOR ANY INDICATION OF IDENTITIES OF INDIVIDUALS WHO MAY HAVE BEEN TARGETS OF A LEAFLET DESIGNED TO RIDICULE.

COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF THE VENCEREMOS BRIGADE, GAY LIBERATION FRONT, AND DAVE TYRE DELLINGER FILES FAILED TO REVEAL ANY INFORMATION BEARING ON THE ABOVE.

NO FURTHER ACTION IN THIS MATTER, UACB.

END.

1 - NEW YORK

1 - SUPERVISOR #44

HAH:kmm

(2)

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____

6448

6344

FBI

Date: 4/19/76

024

Transmit the following in ENCODE
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE NITEL
(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698-34-82)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL - 11), BUDED APRIL 19, 1976.

RE NEW YORK TELETYPE APRIL 16, 1976, AND BUREAU TELETYPE
TO NEW YORK, APRIL 14, 1976.

REVIEW OF CAPTIONED FILE FOR PERTINENT PERIOD DURING THE
MONTH OF JUNE, 1970 INDICATES THAT 145 COPIES OF A LEAFLET APPROVED
BY BUREAU LETTER OF JUNE 1, 1970, WERE SENT TO SELECTED INDIVIDUALS
AND ORGANIZATIONS OF THE NEW LEFT AS SET FORTH IN NEW YORK LETTER
TO DIRECTOR, JUNE 30, 1970. NO INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE IN THIS
FILE AS TO THE SPECIFIC INDIVIDUALS AND/OR ORGANIZATIONS WHO WERE
RECIPIENTS OF THIS LEAFLET OTHER THAN POSSIBLY DAVID DELLINGER, WHOSE
NAME AND ADDRESS, 339 LAFAYETTE STREET, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, APPEARS
ON THE PROTOTYPE FURNISHED TO THE BUREAU BY NEW YORK LETTER OF
MAY 18, 1970 FOR APPROVAL. NO FURTHER ACTION IS CONTEMPLATED IN
THIS MATTER, UACB.

END.

1 - NEW YORK

10:1pm

1 - SUPV. 44

Searched _____
Serialized _____
Indexed _____
Filed _____

100-163303-386

Approved:

Special Agent in Charge

Sent 7:19/4M. Per.

04269

NR 231 TA C9DT

6:13PM NITEL 4/26/76 SLR

TO ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163323)
FROM DIRECTOR (100-449698-34-92)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL - 15) (NL - 222)

BUDED: MAY 3, 1976

RENYAIRTEL NOVEMBER 24, 1970, AND BUAIRTEL DECEMBER 7, 1970.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS). THE PURPOSE OF THE DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW IS TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COINTELPRO ACTIONS WHICH WERE CONDUCTED AGAINST THEM. THE COMMITTEE, AS A RESULT OF A PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THE VARIOUS ACTIONS IN THE COINTELPRO NOTIFICATION PROGRAM, HAS REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR OFFICE.

REFERENCED AIRTELS REFER TO THE ANONYMOUS MAILING OF A COUNTERINTELLIGENCE LEAFLET CONCERNING ABBIE HOFFMAN. THE ABOVE COMMITTEE DESIRES TO KNOW IF LEAFLET WAS MAILED AND, IF MAILED, THE IDENTITIES OF INDIVIDUALS TO WHOM LEAFLET SENT,

NEW YORK SUTEL NOTING BUDED OF MAY 3, 1976.

USE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE NUMBER,

426/76
NL
Per 337

100-163303-387

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 26 1976	
FBI - NEW YORK	

54C TV

PAGE TWO

WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL
CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED
FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY.
YOU SHOULD LIMIT THE INQUIRIES TO FIELD OFFICE FILES AND
NO ACTIVE INVESTIGATION SHOULD BE CONDUCTED.

END

7

VA276

NR057 VA CODE

8:57PM NITEL 4-26-76 BAS

TO NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM DIRECTOR (100-449698-34-93)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-16); BUDED MAY 3, 1976.

REURLET DECEMBER 30, 1970.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS). THE PURPOSE OF THE DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW IS TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD NOTIFIED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COINTELPRO ACTIONS WHICH WERE CONDUCTED AGAINST THEM. THE COMMITTEE, AS A RESULT OF A PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THE VARIOUS ACTIONS IN THE COINTELPRO NOTIFICATION PROGRAM, HAS REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR OFFICE.

REFERENCED LETTER REFERS TO ANONYMOUS MAILING OF TWO PAGE LEAFLET ENTITLED "NEWSREEL FILMS", DESIGNED TO RIDICULE "NEWSREEL".

BY COB, MAY 3, 1976 ADVISE WHETHER OR NOT NEW YORK MADE

NL
Per 340

100-163303-388

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 26 1976	
FBI - NEW YORK	

SAC IV

PAGE TWO

ANONYMOUS MAILING.

USE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE NUMBER,
WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL
CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED
FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY.
YOU SHOULD LIMIT THE INQUIRIES TO FIELD OFFICE FILES AND NO
ACTIVE INVESTIGATION SHOULD BE CONDUCTED.

END

VA288

NR039-VA CODE

10:30PM NITEL 4/26/76 EFG

TO NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM DIRECTOR (100-449698-34-9)

COINTELPRO -NEW LEFT (NL - 365)

B UDED: MAY 3, 1976

RENYLET TO THE BUREAU MAY 28, 1968, AND BULET TO NEW YORK
JULY 3, 1968.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED
COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS). THE PURPOSE OF
THE DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW IS TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT CERTAIN
TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COINTELPRO
ACTIONS WHICH WERE CONDUCTED AGAINST THEM. THE COMMITTEE, AS
A RESULT OF A PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THE VARIOUS ACTIONS IN THE
COINTELPRO NOTIFICATION PROGRAM, HAS REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING;
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR OFFICE.

REFERENCED LETTERS REFER TO THE CREATION OF A LEAFLET
CONTAINING A MONTAGE OF PHOTOGRAPHS SHOWING THE WORST LOOKING
OF THE "HIPPIE SET."

100-163303-389

SEARCHED ☒	INDEXED ☒
SERIALIZED ☒	FILED ☒
APR 27 1976	
FBI - NEW YORK	

SAC III

PAGE TWO

THE ABOVE COMMITTEE DESIRES TO BE ADVISED AS TO WHETHER SUCH A MONTAGE WAS CREATED AND, IF SO, THE IDENTITIES OF THE INDIVIDUALS WHOSE PHOTOGRAPHS WERE PLACED IN THE MONTAGE.

NEW YORK SUTEL NOTING BUDED MAY 3, 1976.

USE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE NUMBER, WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY. YOU SHOULD LIMIT THE INQUIRIES TO FIELD OFFICE FILES AND NO ACTIVE INVESTIGATION SHOULD BE CONDUCTED.

END

WA266

NR330 WA CODE

5:33PM NITEL 4/26/76 FCD

TO NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM DIRECTOR (100-449698-34-9)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL - 363); BUDED: APRIL 30, 1976

RENYLET MAY 28, 1968, AND BULET TO NEW YORK JULY 3, 1968.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS). THE PURPOSE OF THE DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW IS TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COINTELPRO ACTIONS WHICH WERE CONDUCTED AGAINST THEM. THE COMMITTEE, AS A RESULT OF A PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THE VARIOUS ACTIONS IN THE COINTELPRO NOTIFICATION PROGRAM, HAS REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR OFFICE.

REFERENCED LETTERS REFER TO THE MAILING OF MARK RUDD'S LETTER TO THE PRESIDENT OF COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY AS PUBLISHED IN THE "GUARDIAN" TO THE PARENTS OF STUDENTS ARRESTED DURING THE RIOTS AT COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY AND TO THE PARENTS OF OTHER INDIVIDUALS WHO WERE ACTIVE IN NEW LEFT GROUPS. THE ABOVE

CLOSED?

390

100-163303-

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 26 1976	
FBI-NEW YORK	

100

SAC *TH*

b6
b7C

PAGE TWO

COMMITTEE DESIRES TO KNOW IF, IN FACT, THIS LETTER WAS MAILED
AND, IF MAILED, THE IDENTITIES OF INDIVIDUALS, SPECIFICALLY
THE NAMES OF THE PARENTS OF THOSE ARRESTED AT COLUMBIA
UNIVERSITY AND THE PARENTS OF OTHERS WHO WERE ACTIVE IN
NEW LEFT GROUPS, AS WELL AS THE IDENTITIES OF THE STUDENTS.

NEW YORK, SUTEL NOTING BUDED OF APRIL 30, 1976.

USE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE NUMBER,
WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL CORRESPON-
DENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED FOR THE
FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY. YOU
SHOULD LIMIT THE INQUIRIES TO FIELD OFFICE FILES AND NO
ACTIVE INVESTIGATION SHOULD BE CONDUCTED.

END

WA282

NR038 VA CODE

10:50PM NITEL 4-26-76 CHB

TO NEW ORLEANS (100-17858)

NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM DIRECTOR (100-449698-914)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL - 184).

B UDED: MAY 3, 1976.

RENYLET TO BUREAU FEBRUARY 4, 1976, AND BULET TO NEW
ORLEANS FEBRUARY 10, 1976.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED
COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS). THE PURPOSE OF
THE DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW IS TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT CERTAIN
TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COINTELPRO
ACTIONS WHICH WERE CONDUCTED AGAINST THEM. THE COMMITTEE, AS
A RESULT OF A PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THE VARIOUS ACTIONS IN THEM
COINTELPRO NOTIFICATION PROGRAM, HAS REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR OFFICES.

REFERENCED LETTERS REFER TO THE ANONYMOUS MAILING; OF A
LETTER FROM NEW ORLEANS TO THE PROGRESSIVE LABOR PARTY CONCERNING

b6
b7c

THE ABOVE COMMITTEE DESIRES TO BE ADVISED IF, IN FACT,

4/26/76

100-163303-391

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 26 1976	
FBI - NEW YORK	

SAC [Signature]

PAGE TWO

THIS LETTER WAS ACTUALLY MAILED BY THE NEW ORLEANS
DIVISION.

NEW ORLEANS SUTEL NOTING BUDED MAY 3, 1976.

USE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE
NUMBER, WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE
ALL CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS
DESIGNATED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE
COINTELPRO ONLY. YOU SHOULD LIMIT THE INQUIRIES TO FIELD
OFFICE FILES AND NO ACTIVE INVESTIGATION SHOULD BE
CONDUCTED.

END

VA275

NR058 VA CODE

8:50PM NITEL 4-26-76 BAS

TO NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM DIRECTOR (100-449698-34-99)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-17); BUDED MAY 3, 1976.

REURLET APRIL 2, 1971 AND BUREAU LETTER APRIL 9, 1971.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS). THE PURPOSE OF THE DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW IS TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COINTELPRO ACTIONS WHICH WERE CONDUCTED AGAINST THEM. THE COMMITTEE, AS A RESULT OF A PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THE VARIOUS ACTIONS IN THE COINTELPRO NOTIFICATION PROGRAM, HAS REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR OFFICE:

REFERENCED LETTERS REFER TO ANONYMOUS MAILING OF LEAFLET DESIGNED TO DISRUPT MASSIVE DEMONSTRATIONS IN WASHINGTON, D. C., AND SAN FRANCISCO APRIL, 1971.

BY COB, MAY 3, 1976 ADVISE WHETHER OR NOT ANONYMOUS MAILING CARRIED OUT.

392
100-163303-
SEARCHED INDEXED
SERIALIZED FILED
APR 26 1976
FBI - NEW YORK

NL
per 363
No record of completion
CC
handed - sent 4/30/76

SAC III

b6
b7c

PAGE TWO

USE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE NUMBER,
WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL
CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED FOR
THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY. YOU
SHOULD LIMIT THE INQUIRIES TO FIELD OFFICE FILES AND NO ACTIVE
INVESTIGATION SHOULD BE CONDUCTED.

END

VA279

NR027 VA CODE

10:23PM NITEL 4-26-76 DLS

TO CHICAGO (100-45316)

ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM DIRECTOR (100-449698-9-12)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL - 265)

BUDED: MAY 3, 1976.

RECOLET TO BUREAU AUGUST 9, 1968.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS). THE PURPOSE OF THE DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW IS TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COINTELPRO ACTIONS WHICH WERE CONDUCTED AGAINST THEM. THE COMMITTEE, AS A RESULT OF A PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THE VARIOUS ACTIONS IN THE COINTELPRO NOTIFICATION PROGRAM, HAS REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR OFFICES.

REFERENCED LETTER REFERS TO CONTACTING CREDITORS OF SMC AND HAVING THEM PRESS SMC FOR PAYMENT. THESE CONTACTS TO BE MADE BY THE NEW YORK DIVISION. THE ABOVE COMMITTEE DESIRES TO KNOW IF THIS PROPOSAL WAS, IN FACT, IMPLEMENTED. IF IMPLEMENTED, ADVISE WHICH CREDITORS WERE CONTACTED AND IF SPECIFIC INDIVIDUALS

393

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FBI - NEW YORK	

5AC *TH*

PAGE TWO

WITHIN THE SMC WERE ASSOCIATED WITH THESE DEBTS.

CHICAGO AND NEW YORK SUTELS NOTING BUDED MAY 3, 1976.

USE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE
NUMBER, WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE
ALL CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS
DESIGNATED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE
COINTELPRO ONLY. YOU SHOULD LIMIT THE INQUIRIES TO
FIELD OFFICE FILES AND NO ACTIVE INVESTIGATION SHOULD
BE CONDUCTED.

END

NR008 NO CODE

8:02PM NITEL 4/27/76 DCB

TO: DIRECTOR (100-449698-914)

SAC NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM: SAC, NEW ORLEANS (100-17858) (C)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-184).

RE BUREAU NITEL TO NEW ORLEANS AND NEW YORK, APRIL 26, 1976.

A REVIEW OF THIS NEW ORLEANS FILE INDICATES THAT, IN RESPONSE TO (1) NEW ORLEANS LETTER TO BUREAU DATED JANUARY 29, 1970, IN CASE ENTITLED "PROGRESSIVE LABOR PARTY (PLP), IS - PLP; OO: NEW YORK;

b6
b7C

[REDACTED], AKA, SM - PLP; OO: NEW ORLEANS;

COINTELPRO, (2) NEW YORK LETTER TO DIRECTOR, FEBRUARY 4, 1970, IN CASE ENTITLED "COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT," AND (3) BUREAU LETTER TO NEW ORLEANS, FEBRUARY 10, 1970, IN COINTELPRO CASE, NEW ORLEANS AGENT ON FEBRUARY 16, 1970, SEALED THE HANDWRITTEN LETTER PREPARED AND FORWARDED BY NEW YORK (NUMBER 2 ABOVE) ADDRESSED TO THE EDITOR OF "CHALLENGE," AND MAILED IT IN A MAILBOX IN NEW ORLEANS.

*Mailed and
out 4/28/76
JCS*

THIS SAME NEW ORLEANS FILE ALSO SHOWS A MEMO DATED JUNE 26, 1970, WHICH INDICATES THAT THERE WAS NO EFFECT AGAINST [REDACTED] NOTICED IN SUBSEQUENT ISSUES OF "CHALLENGE" OR IN INFORMANT REPORTS.

b6
b7C

END MESSAGE...

JPZ NYFBI CLR AND TKS FOR ONE

4/27/76
PLP
per 278
40

100-163303-394

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FBI - NEW YORK	

SAC

FBI

Date: 4/28/76

19

Transmit the following in CODE
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE NITEL
(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698-914) AND SAC
NEW ORLEANS (100-17858)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-184)

REBUNITEL, DATED APRIL 26, 1976, AND NONITEL TO BUREAU AND
NEW YORK, DATED APRIL 27, 1976.

A REVIEW OF NEW YORK PLP FILE (100-147372) AND NEW YORK
COINTELPRO FILE REFLECTS NO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION RE MAILING
OF ANONYMOUS LETTER DESCRIBED IN REFERENCED COMMUNICATIONS SINCE
RECEIPT OF BULET TO NEW ORLEANS, DATED FEBRUARY 10, 1970.
END.

1 - New York
1 - Supv. #48

RCS:djb

(2) *djb* 4/28/76

100-163303-395

Approved: *L. J. L.*
Special Agent in Charge

Sent 3:40 NO 3:37-WA PM Per *cyg*

FBI

Date: 4/28/76

037

Transmit the following in CODE
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE NITEL
(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698-34-9)
FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303) (RUC)
COINTELPRO-NEW LEFT (NL-365)

RE BUREAU TELETYPE TO NEW YORK, APRIL 26 1976.

BY LETTER TO THE BUREAU, MAY 28, 1968, NEW YORK OFFICE REQUESTED PERMISSION TO PRODUCE MONTAGE. BY BUREAU LETTER TO NEW YORK, JULY 3, 1968, AUTHORITY WAS GRANTED TO PRODUCE THE MONTAGE. BY LETTER TO BUREAU, AUGUST 1, 1968, NEW YORK OFFICE REQUESTED THE BUREAU TO SELECT APPROPRIATE MONTAGE FROM THREE ^{OKS} ~~BLKKS~~ OF PHOTOGRAPHS AND TO REPRODUCE 500 COPIES FOR THE NEW YORK OFFICE. BUREAU LETTER TO NEW YORK OFFICE, AUGUST 29, 1968, REFLECTS MONTAGE WAS PRODUCED AND 450 COPIES SENT TO NEW YORK OFFICE. BY LETTER TO BUREAU, OCTOBER 3, 1968, NEW YORK OFFICE REQUESTED AN ADDITIONAL 1,000 COPIES OF MONTAGE BE FURNISHED. BY LETTER TO BUREAU, DECEMBER 31, 1968, NEW YORK OFFICE ADVISED APPROXIMATELY 800 MONTAGE-LEAFLETS HAD BEEN DISTRIBUTED AROUND NEW YORK WITH PRIMARY TARGET AREAS LISTED AS FORDHAM AND COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY CAMPUSES. NO REFERENCE IS MADE TO THE

1-NEW YORK
1-SUPERVISOR #47

JWW:rr
(2)

#47

100-163303-396

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED

Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

WA:155A

M

Per

GAB

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via _____
(Precedence)

PAGE TWO NY 100-163303

PERSONS WHOSE PICTURES WERE PLACED ON THE MONTAGE OR TO THE
EXACT RECIPIENTS OF THOSE LEAFLETS AS REFLECTED IN A REVIEW
OF NEW YORK FILES.

END

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

NR008 CG CODE

1148AM NITEL 4/29/76 EMM

TO DIRECTOR (100-449698-9-12)

ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM CHICAGO (100-45316) (RUC)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-265), BUDED MAY 3, 1976.

RE BUTEL, APRIL 26, 1976.

REVIEW OF CHICAGO FILES FAILS TO DISCLOSE RECEIPT OF
REPLY FROM NYO TO CHICAGO LETTER, AUGUST 9, 1968, RECOMMENDING
NYO ASCERTAIN IDENTITY OF CREDITORS OF STUDENT MOBILIZATION
COMMITTEE TO END THE WAR IN VIET NAM (SMC). NO INDICATION
IN CHICAGO FILES THAT THIS PROPOSAL WAS EVER IMPLEMENTED.
END.

SJB

FBI

NEW YORK CLR

*See me
summarize & apply
to me 7 minutes
Give you today
1/2*

100-163303-397

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
2 1976	
FBI-NEW YORK	

b6
b7C

007

F B I

Date: 4/29/76

Transmit the following in PLAIN
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE NITEL
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698-34-9)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-363); BUDED: APRIL 30, 1976.

REFERENCE BUREAU NITEL TO NEW YORK, APRIL 26, 1976; AND NEW YORK LETTER TO BUREAU, OCTOBER 1, 1968.

REVIEW OF NEW YORK (NY) FILES LOCATED INFORMATION INDICATING THAT THE "RUDD LETTER" WAS MAILED; DETAILS SET FORTH IN REFERENCED NY LETTER.

REFERENCED LETTER INDICATES THAT THE "RUDD LETTER" WAS MAILED TO 61 INDIVIDUALS AFFILIATED WITH 26 COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES IN THE NY AREA AND TO THE PARENTS OF 24 STUDENTS ARRESTED DURING THE SPRING OF 1968.

NO RECORD COULD BE LOCATED WHICH REVEALED THE IDENTITY OF ANY OF THE RECIPIENTS OF THIS LETTER OR THE IDENTITY OF THE STUDENTS TARGETED DURING THIS MAILING.

END.

①- NEW YORK
1- SUPV. #47

DCP:eev

(2) *mu*

100-163303-398

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FBI - NEW YORK	
APR 29 1976	

Approved: L/L
Special Agent in ChargeSent 3:25 M Per ①

FBI

Date: 4/30/76

Transmit the following in _____ CODE _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE NITEL _____
(Precedence)

025

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698-34-99)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303) (C)

COINTELPRO-NEW LEFT (NL-17); BUDED: MAY 3, 1976.

RE BUREAU TELETYPE, APRIL 26, 1976.

REVIEW OF NEW YORK FILES IN REFERENCE TO NEW YORK LETTER,
APRIL 2, 1971 AND BUREAU LETTER, APRIL 9, 1971, IN WHICH
IT WAS PROPOSED THAT AN ANONYMOUS MAILING OF A LEAFLET TO
DISRUPT MASSIVE DEMONSTRATIONS IN WASHINGTON, D.C. AND
SAN FRANCISCO IN APRIL, 1971, REFLECTS THAT NO ACTION
WAS TAKEN ON THIS MAILING.

END.

①-NEW YORK
1-SUPERVISOR #40

EJM:rr
(2)

100-163303-399

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 3 - 1976	
FBI - NEW YORK	

Approved: *[Signature]*

Special Agent in Charge

Sent *8:00 P*

M

Per *[Signature]*

FBI

005

Date: 4/30/76

CODE

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE NITEL
(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698-9-12) AND SAC
CHICAGO (100-45316)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303) (C)


COINTELPRO-NEW LEFT (NL 265); BUDED MAY 3, 1976.

RE BUREAU TELETYPE, APRIL 26, 1976; CGTELETYPE APRIL 29,
1976.

REVIEW OF NEW YORK FILES IN REFERENCE TO CHICAGO LETTER,
AUGUST 9, 1968, RECOMMENDING NEW YORK ASCERTAIN IDENTITY OF CREDITORS
OF STUDENT MOBILIZATION COMMITTEE TO END THE WAR IN VIET NAM
(SMC) REFLECTS THAT NEW YORK TOOK NO ACTION ON THE PROPOSAL.
END.

① - NEW YORK *exp 40*EJM:1pm
(2)

1 - SUPV. 40



Approved: _____

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

3:15-4A
3:12-CC

PM

Per

cy

FBI

Date: 5/3/76

32

Transmit the following in CODE
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE NITEL
(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698-34-92)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

COINTELPRO-NEW LEFT (NL-15) (NL-202) BUDED: MAY 3, 1976.

RE BUREAU NITEL, DATED APRIL 26, 1976.

LEAFLET CONCERNING ABBIE HOFFMAN, MENTIONED IN REFERENCED
NITEL, MAILED JANUARY 25, 1971, UPON AUTHORIZATION OF BUREAU.
NO COMMUNICATION REFLECTS IDENTITIES OF INDIVIDUALS TO WHOM
LEAFLET SENT. HOWEVER, ON NYO COPY OF BUREAU AIRTEL DECEMBER
7, 1970, REFLECTS FOLLOWING HANDWRITTEN NOTATION:

"SENT TO [REDACTED] LIBERATION NEWS
SERVICE, [REDACTED] JANUARY
25, 1971 BPM."
END.

① - NEW YORK
1 - SUPV. #47

RDS:KM

(2) *Km #47*

100-163303-401

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED <i>h</i>	FILED <i>h</i>
FBI - NEW YORK	

[Signature]

Approved: *[Signature]*
Special Agent in ChargeSent *7 05* M Per *[Signature]*

F B I

Date: 5/3/76

18
L 2/8Transmit the following in CODE
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE NITEL
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698-34-93)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-16); BUDED MAY 3, 1976

REBUREAU NITEL, DATED APRIL 26, 1976.

REVIEW OF NEW YORK INDICES AND FILES FAILED TO CON-
TAIN ANY INFORMATION WHICH WOULD INDICATE THAT ANONYMOUS
LEAFLET INVOLVING "NEWSREEL" WAS SENT BY NEW YORK.

END.

① - NEW YORK
1 - SUPV #47

NFD:so

(2)

SO#47

100-163303-402

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED

JB

Approved: 2/2
Special Agent in Charge

Sent 6 15 M Per hxd

WA 1550

R050 WA CODE

9:33PM NITEL 5/10/76 SDS

TO NEW YORK 100-163303)

FROM DIRECTOR (100-449698)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL - 4)

BUDED: MAY 11, 1976

RENYLET JUNE 18, 1969, AND HOLET JUNE 24, 1969.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE (SRC) OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS AND HAS MADE A DETERMINATION THAT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED. THE ACTUAL NOTIFICATION WILL BE EFFECTED BY THE DEPARTMENT AND NO BUREAU PERSONNEL WILL BE INVOLVED. HOWEVER, THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS TO BE OBTAINED BY YOUR OFFICE TO ASSIST THE DEPARTMENT IN THEIR NOTIFICATION OF THE RESPECTIVE TARGETS:

1. WILL NOTIFICATION DISCLOSE THE IDENTITY OF AN INFORMANT OR COMPROMISE SENSITIVE SOURCES OR METHODS?
2. ANY CURRENT OR PENDING LITIGATION REGARDING TARGET IN WHICH FBI OR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS AN INTERESTED PARTY.
3. A CHECK OF FIELD OFFICE INDICES, APPROPRIATE TELEPHONE

5/10/76
w

47 @

100-163303-408

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 10 1976	
FBI - NEW YORK	

PAGE TWO

AND STREET DIRECTORIES TO ASCERTAIN LAST KNOWN ADDRESS OF THE TARGET ONLY. NO ADDITIONAL ATTEMPT IS TO BE MADE TO OBTAIN ADDRESS FOR THE TARGET OR TARGETS, AND UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD ANY INVESTIGATIVE ACTION BE INSTITUTED.

A LEAFLET ENTITLED "WHITHER DOTH WE GO DAVY BABY," DESIGNED TO RIDUCULE THE NATIONAL MOBILIZATION COMMITTEE TO END THE WAR IN VIETNAM AND ITS LEADER, DAVID DELLINGER, WAS MAILED ANONYMOUSLY TO SELECTED PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS ACTIVE IN THE NEW LEFT MOVEMENT. SRC DECIDED TO NOTIFY DAVID DELLINGER.

UTILIZE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE NUMBER, WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY.

END

FBI

Date: 5/11/76

Transmit the following in CODED
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE NITEL
(Precedence)

016

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698)
FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)
COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-4)

REBUREAU NITEL, MAY 10, 1976.

NEW YORK FILES DISCLOSED LAST KNOWN ADDRESS (AUGUST 26, 1975) OF DAVID DELLINGER AS 165 GARFIELD PLACE, BROOKLYN, NEW YORK. DELLINGER IS A MEMBER OF THE STAFF OF SEVEN DAYS MAGAZINE, 206 5TH AVENUE, NEW YORK CITY. NO FURTHER INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE CONCERNING DELLINGER'S ADDRESS.

LAST ADDRESS OF NATIONAL MOBILIZATION COMMITTEE TO END THE WAR IN VIETNAM UNDER THAT NAME WAS 339 LAFAYETTE STREET, NEW YORK CITY. IN JULY 1969, NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION WAS CHANGED TO NEW MOBILIZATION COMMITTEE TO END THE WAR IN VIETNAM. ORGANIZATION BECAME DEFUNCT, AUGUST, 1971. AT THAT TIME, ITS NEW YORK CITY OFFICE WAS LOCATED AT 17 EAST 17TH STREET, NEW YORK CITY, AND ITS NATIONAL OFFICE WAS LOCATED AT 1029 VERMONT AVENUE, NORTHWEST, WASHINGTON, D.C.

1 - NEW YORK

JFM:tfb
(1)

100-163303-404

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAY 12 1976	
FBI - NEW YORK	

8 10 PM

Approved: [Signature]
Special Agent in ChargeSent [Signature] M Per [Signature]

FBI

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)Via _____
(Precedence)

PAGE TWO NY 100-163303

IT IS NOT BELIEVED THAT NOTIFICATION OF DELLINGER OF
COINTELPRO ACTIVITY DESCRIBED IN REFERENCED BUREAU NITEL
WOULD DISCLOSE IDENTITY OF AN INFORMANT OR COMPROMISE
SENSITIVE SOURCES OR METHODS.

OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL, FBIHQ, ADVISED MAY 11, 1976,
THAT DELLINGER IS INVOLVED IN LITIGATION IN WHICH DEPARTMENT
OF JUSTICE IS INTERESTED PARTY IN MATTER OF "DELLINGER VS.

".

END.

b6
b7C

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

WA 224

NR084 WA CODA

9:15PM NITEL 6-11-76 LKM

TO NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM DIRECTOR (100-449698)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL - 1). BUDED: JUNE 14, 1976.

RENYLET FEBRUARY 7, 1969, AND BULET FEBRUARY 14, 1969.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE (SRC) OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS AND HAS MADE A DETERMINATION THAT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED. THE ACTUAL NOTIFICATION WILL BE EFFECTED BY THE DEPARTMENT AND NO BUREAU PERSONNEL WILL BE INVOLVED. HOWEVER, THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS TO BE OBTAINED BY YOUR OFFICE TO ASSIST THE DEPARTMENT IN THEIR NOTIFICATION OF THE RESPECTIVE TARGETS:

1. WILL NOTIFICATION DISCLOSE THE IDENTITY OF AN INFORMANT OR COMPROMISE SENSITIVE SOURCES OR METHODS?
2. ANY CURRENT OR PENDING LITIGATION REGARDING TARGET IN WHICH FBI OR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS AN INTERESTED PARTY.
3. A CHECK OF FIELD OFFICE INDICES, APPROPRIATE TELEPHONE AND STREET DIRECTORIES TO ASCERTAIN LAST KNOWN ADDRESS OF THE TARGET ONLY. NO ADDITIONAL ATTEMPT IS TO BE MADE TO OBTAIN ADDRESS FOR THE TARGET OR TARGETS, AND UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES

nile out 6-14-76
af

6/11/76
J

100-163303-405

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
47 JUN 14 1976	
FBI-NEW YORK	

PAGE TWO

SHOULD ANY INVESTIGATIVE ACTION BE INSTITUTED. YOUR OFFICE SHOULD ALSO FURNISH ANY OTHER INFORMATION IN YOUR FILES WHICH WOULD MITIGATE AGAINST NOTIFICATION.

REFERENCED COMMUNICATIONS CONCERNING ANONYMOUS MAILING OF A LEAFLET DESIGNED TO RIDICULE DAVID T. DELLINGER, CHAIRMAN OF NATIONAL MOBILIZATION COMMITTEE. LEAFLET INCLUDES PHOTOGRAPH OF [REDACTED]. SRC WOULD NOTIFY

[REDACTED] AND YOUR RESPONSE TO THE ABOVE POINTS SHOULD DEAL ONLY WITH [REDACTED].

UTILIZE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE NUMBER, WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY.

END

b6
b7C

R089 WA CODE

10:05PM NITEL 6-11-76 LKM

TO NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM DIRECTOR (100-449698)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL - 205). BUDED: JUNE 16, 1976.

RENYLET FEBRUARY 8, 1971, AND BULET FEBRUARY 17, 1971.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS). THE PURPOSE OF THE DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW IS TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COINTELPRO ACTIONS WHICH WERE CONDUCTED AGAINST THEM. THE COMMITTEE, AS A RESULT OF A PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THE VARIOUS ACTIONS IN THE COINTELPRO NOTIFICATION PROGRAM, HAS REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR OFFICE.

BY COB JUNE 16, 1976, NEW YORK ADVISE WHETHER OR NOT ACTION SET FORTH IN REFERENCED COMMUNICATIONS WAS IMPLEMENTED.

USE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE NUMBER, WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY. YOU SHOULD LIMIT THE INQUIRIES TO FIELD OFFICE FILES AND NO ACTIVE INVESTIGATION SHOULD BE CONDUCTED.

END

*Noted out 6-14-76
DJP*

6/11/76

CE

100-163303-406

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
47 JUN 11 1976	
FBI-NEW YORK	

FBI

Date: 6/14/76

004

Transmit the following in CODE
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE NITEL
(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-1); BUDED JUNE 14, 1976.

REBUREAU NITEL TO NY, JUNE 11, 1976.

REVIEW OF NEW YORK FILES DISCLOSED NO INFORMATION
INDICATING THAT NOTIFICATION, AS SET OUT IN REFERENCED BUREAU
NITEL, WOULD DISCLOSE THE IDENTITY OF ANY INFORMANT, SENSITIVE
SOURCE, OR SENSITIVE METHOD. NO INFORMATION WAS LOCATED
CONCERNING ANY CURRENT OR PENDING LITIGATION REGARDING THE
TARGET AND HIS LAST KNOWN ADDRESS, CURRENT AS OF 1968, WAS

[REDACTED]

ORIGIN REGARDING [REDACTED] IS SAN DIEGO, FILE NUMBER
100-13701.

END.

1- NEW YORK

~~SUPERVISOR TIT (DESTROYED)~~VDCP:lpr
(2)

Return to #47

47

Approved: L/L

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

2:38-AM

FM

Per

mg

FBI

Date: 6/14/76

008

Transmit the following in CODED

(Type in plaintext or code)

TELETYPE

NITEL

Via

(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

COINTELPRO-NEW LEFT (NL-205); BUDED: JUNE 16, 1976

RE BUREAU NITEL TO NEW YORK, JUNE 11, 1976; NY
LET TO BUREAU, MARCH 30, 1971; BU LET TO NEW YORK,
FEBRUARY 17, 1971, AND NEW YORK LET TO BUREAU, DATED
FEBRUARY 8, 1971.

REVIEW OF NEW YORK FILES DISCLOSED THAT THE
ACTION SET FORTH IN RE NY LETTER OF FEBRUARY 8, 1971,
WAS IMPLEMENTED.

RE NEW YORK LET OF MARCH 30, 1971, REPORTED THAT
101 COPIES OF THE LEAFLET WERE SENT TO VARIOUS INDIVIDUALS
AND ORGANIZATIONS, THE IDENTITIES OF WHICH WERE NOT
DISCLOSED.
END.

①-New York

~~1 Supervisor (147) (Destroyed)~~

DCP: tmw

(2)

Return to

#47

Approved:

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

2:52-WA

PM

Per

cy

WA 692

NR0039 WA CODE

5:08PM NITEL 7/23/76 EFG

TO ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM DIRECTOR (100-449698)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-374)

BUDED: JULY 29, 1976

REURLET DECEMBER 20, 1968, AND BUREAU LETTER DECEMBER 27,
1968.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS). THE PURPOSE OF THE DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW IS TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COINTELPRO ACTIONS WHICH WERE CONDUCTED AGAINST THEM. THE COMMITTEE, AS A RESULT OF A PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THE VARIOUS ACTIONS IN THE COINTELPRO NOTIFICATION PROGRAM, HAS REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR OFFICE.

BY COB JULY 29, 1976, ADVISE WHETHER OR NOT ANONYMOUS LETTER WAS MAILED TO CONCERNING

7/23/76
an

47

100-163303-409

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUL 23 1976	
FBI-NEW YORK	

b6
b7C

PAGE TWO

USE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE
NUMBER, WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL
CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED
FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY.
YOU SHOULD LIMIT THE INQUIRIES TO FIELD OFFICE FILES AND NO
ACTIVE INVESTIGATION SHOULD BE CONDUCTED.

END

WA 698

NR034 WA CODE

6:15PM NITEL 7/23/76 MBT

TO NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM DIRECTOR (100-449698)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-370); BUDED: JULY 29, 1976.

REURLET OCTOBER 7, 1968, AND BUREAU LETTER OCTOBER 21, 1968.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS). THE PURPOSE OF THE DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW IS TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COINTELPRO ACTIONS WHICH WERE CONDUCTED AGAINST THEM. THE COMMITTEE, AS A RESULT OF A PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THE VARIOUS ACTIONS IN THE COINTELPRO NOTIFICATION PROGRAM, HAS REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR OFFICE.

BY COB JULY 29, 1976, ADVISE WHETHER OR NOT ANONYMOUS LETTER CONCERNING WAS MAILED TO VARIOUS PEACE GROUPS AS SET FORTH IN REFERENCED COMMUNICATIONS.

b6
b7c

USE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCED NUMBER, WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL

100-163303-410

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUL 29 1976	
FBI-NEW YORK	

7/23/76
47

PAGE TWO

CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS
DESIGNATED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE
COINTELPRO ONLY. YOU SHOULD LIMIT THE INQUIRIES TO FIELD
OFFICE FILES AND NO ACTIVE INVESTIGATION SHOULD BE
CONDUCTED.

END

FBI

Date:

7/26/76

Transmit the following in CODED

(Type in plaintext or code)

TELETYPE

NITEL

Via

(Precedence)

011

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

COINTELPRO-NEW LEFT (NL 374); BUDED JULY 29, 1976

RE NEW YORK LETTER, DECEMBER 20, 1968, BUREAU LETTER
DECEMBER 27, 1968, AND BUREAU NITEL, JULY 23, 1976.

BY NEW YORK LETTER, DATED JANUARY 2, 1969, LETTER
IN ~~AND~~ A STAMPED ENVELOPE WAS FORWARDED TO WASHINGTON FIELD
OFFICE FOR MAILING TO
END.

b6
b7c

①-New York

1-Supervisor (#47)

TBL:twg

(2)

twg
*47**100-163303-4.11*
*it d*Approved: *2/2*

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

5:10

M

Per *gm*

FBI

Date: 7/26/76

012

Transmit the following in CODED
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE NITEL
(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

COINTELPRO-NEW LEFT (NL 370); BUDED JULY 29, 1976

RE NEW YORK LETTER, OCTOBER 7, 1968, BUREAU LETTER
OCTOBER 21, 1968, AND BUREAU NITEL, JULY 23, 1976.

NO INDICATION IN FILE WHETHER OR NOT LETTER CONCERNING

 MAILED..

END.

b6
b7C

① New York

1-Supervisor (#47)

TBL:twg

(2)

Twg

100-163303-412

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED

Approved: 2/2

Special Agent in Charge

Sent 5:12

M

Per gg

NR023 WFO CODED

1035PM NITEL JULY 28, 1976 RMM

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698) AND

SAC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM: SAC, WFO (100-47757) (C)

COINTELPRO-NEW LEFT (NL - 374) BUDED JULY 29, 1976.

REURGENTTEL DATED JULY 28, 1976.

WFO ADVISED NEW YORK OFFICE (NYO) VIA INTEROFFICE LETTER
DATED JANUARY 8, 1969, THAT ANONYMOUS LETTER TO

b6
b7C

WAS MAILED ON JANUARY 6, 1969.

END.

100-163303-413

C

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
JUL 28 1976	
FBI-NEW YORK	

47

7/28/76

100-163303-MI-CODE

10:27AM IMMEDIATE AUGUST 9, 1976 PMC

TO: DIRECTOR (100-449698)

NEW YORK

FROM: MILWAUKEE (100-15657) (P)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL 347) BUDED AUGUST 11, 1976.

RE BUREAU NITEL, AUGUST 6, 1976.

SET FORTH BELOW IS THE COMPLETE TEXT OF RE NITEL:

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE (SRC) OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS AND HAS MADE A DETERMINATION THAT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED. THE ACTUAL NOTIFICATION WILL BE EFFECTED BY THE DEPARTMENT AND NO BUREAU PERSONNEL WILL BE INVOLVED. HOWEVER, THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS TO BE OBTAINED BY YOUR OFFICE TO ASSIST THE DEPARTMENT IN THEIR NOTIFICATION OF THE RESPECTIVE TARGETS:-

1. WILL NOTIFICATION DISCLOSE THE IDENTITY OF AN INFORMANT OR COMPROMISE SENSITIVE SOURCES OR METHODS?
2. ANY CURRENT OR PENDING LITIGATION REGARDING TARGET IN WHICH FBI OR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS AN INTERESTED PARTY.
3. A CHECK OF FIELD OFFICE INDICES, APPROPRIATE TELEPHONE

END PAGE ONE

100-163303-414

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
40	100-163303-414

b6
b7c

PAGE TWO

MI 100-15657

AND STREET DIRECTORIES TO ASCERTAIN LAST KNOWN ADDRESS OF THE TARGET ONLY. NO ADDITIONAL ATTEMPT IS TO BE MADE TO OBTAIN ADDRESS FOR THE TARGET OR TARGETS, AND UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD ANY INVESTIGATIVE ACTION BE INSTITUTED.

REFERENCED COMMUNICATIONS CONCERN ANONYMOUS LETTER SENT TO [REDACTED] SETTING FORTH ACTIVITIES OF [REDACTED] A MEMBER OF THE COMMUNIST PARTY AND STUDENT AT UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN. SRC WOULD NOTIFY [REDACTED]

b6
b7c

UTILIZE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE NUMBER, AND RESPOND TO THIS COMMUNICATION BY AUGUST 11, 1976. INSURE ALL CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY.

FOR THE INFO OF NEW YORK, [REDACTED] IS IDENTICAL WITH NEW YORK FILE 100-174866. THE ANONYMOUS LETTER POINTED OUT THAT [REDACTED] WAS A GRADUATE STUDENT, UW - MADISON AND WAS ACTIVE IN THE COMMITTEE TO END THE WAR IN VIET NAM AND DISRUPTIVE ANTI-WAR DEMONSTRATIONS. IN SPITE OF THESE ACTIVITIES, [REDACTED]

b6
b7c

END PAGE TWO

PAGE THREE

MI 100-15657

b6
b7C

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]. ENCLOSED WITH THE LETTER WAS A NEWS CLIPPING FROM THE "OREGON JOURNAL" A PORTLAND DAILY NEWSPAPER, OF APRIL 11, 1967. THIS ARTICLE REFLECTED THAT DURING AN H.C.U.A. HEARING, JOHN P. VAN HYNING WAS IDENTIFIED AS A COMMUNIST BY A WITNESS TESTIFYING BEFORE THE COMMITTEE.

IN ANSWER TO THE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS SET OUT IN RE NITEL, MILWAUKEE OBSERVATIONS ARE AS FOLLOWS:

1. THIS TECHNIQUE DID NOT REQUIRE THE USE OF AN INFORMANT AND WOULD NOT RESULT IN THE COMPROMISE OF A SENSITIVE SOURCE. HOWEVER, THE USE OF AN ANONYMOUS LETTER AS A COINTELPRO TECHNIQUE WOULD BECOME SELF-EVIDENT.

2. MILWAUKEE IS NOT COGNIZANT OF ANY CURRENT OR PENDING LITIGATIONS REGARDING TARGET IN WHICH FBI OR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS AN INTERESTED PARTY.

3. MILWAUKEE FILES REFLECT THAT AS OF FEBRUARY 15, 1972,

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] WAS A RESIDENT AT [REDACTED]
[REDACTED].

END PAGE THREE

PAGE FOUR.

MI 100-15657

THE NEW YORK DIVISION IS REQUESTED TO FURNISH THE BUREAU
AND MILWAUKEE WITH ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS TWO AND THREE OF
REFERENCED NITEL.

END.

ATL FBINY TU CLR

FBI

Date: 8/10/76

Transmit the following in CODE
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE NITEL
(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

COINTELPRO-NEW LEFT (NL-347); BUDED AUGUST 11, 1976.

REBUNITEL, DATED AUGUST 6, 1976, AND MITEL TO NEW YORK,
DATED AUGUST 9, 1976.


IN RESPONSE TO REFERENCED BUREAU NITEL, QUESTIONS TWO AND
THREE, NYO IS NOT COGNIZANT OF ANY CURRENT OR PENDING LITIGATIONS
REGARDING TARGET [REDACTED] IN WHICH FBI OR
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS AN INTERESTED PARTY.

NYO INDICES REVEAL [REDACTED] SUBJECT OF NY FILE
100-174866. LAST KNOWN ADDRESS OF TARGET, AS OF APRIL, 1972, IS
[REDACTED]. TELEPHONE OF SUBJECT WAS LISTED
AS THAT OF [REDACTED]
END.

① - NEW YORK
1 - SUPERVISOR #40

WAR:kph
(2)

kph
#40



Approved: [Signature]
Special Agent in Charge

Sent 4:14 M Per ETS

WA947

NR018 WA CODE

2:40PM NITEL 5/21/76 AJN

TO NEW YORK

BOSTON

FROM DIRECTOR (100-3-104-254)

COINTELPRO-CPUSA (CP-50); BUDED MAY 26, 1976.

REBSLET MAY 3, 1957, AND BULET MAY 9, 1957.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS (COINTELPROS). THE PURPOSE OF THE DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW IS TO ASCERTAIN WHETHER OR NOT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF THE COINTELPRO ACTIONS WHICH WERE CONDUCTED AGAINST THEM. THE COMMITTEE, AS A RESULT OF A PRELIMINARY REVIEW OF THE VARIOUS ACTIONS IN THE COINTELPRO NOTIFICATION PROGRAM, HAS REQUESTED THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL INFORMATION BE OBTAINED FROM YOUR OFFICE.

REFERENCED LETTERS CONCERNED A PAMPHLET BY ENTITLED "HUNGARY AND THE COMMUNIST PARTY."

BY COB MAY 26, 1976, ADVISE WHETHER PAMPHLET DISTRIBUTED AND FURNISH COPY TO BUREAU IMMEDIATELY.

b6
b7c

100-163303416

40-
H
K

PAGE TWO

USE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE NUMBER,
WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL
CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED
FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY.
YOU SHOULD LIMIT THE INQUIRIES TO FIELD OFFICE FILES. A
ACTIVE INVESTIGATION SHOULD BE CONDUCTED.

END

WA065

NR0065 WA CODE

636PM NITEL 8-6-76 SJP

TO ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

FROM DIRECTOR (100-449698)

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-374) BUDED AUGUST 11, 1976.

RENYLET DECEMBER 20, 1968, AND BUREAU LETTER DECEMBER 27, 1968.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE (SRC) OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS AND HAS MADE A DETERMINATION THAT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED. THE ACTUAL NOTIFICATION WILL BE EFFECTED BY THE DEPARTMENT AND NO BUREAU PERSONNEL WILL BE INVOLVED. HOWEVER, THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS TO BE OBTAINED BY YOUR OFFICE TO ASSIST THE DEPARTMENT IN THEIR NOTIFICATION OF THE RESPECTIVE TARGETS:

1. WILL NOTIFICATION DISCLOSE THE IDENTITY OF AN INFORMANT OR COMPROMISE SENSITIVE SOURCES OR METHODS?
2. ANY CURRENT OR PENDING LITIGATION REGARDING TARGET IN WHICH FBI OR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS AN INTERESTED PARTY.
3. A CHECK OF FIELD OFFICE INDICES, APPROPRIATE TELEPHONE

447
100-163303-

SEARCHED.....	INDEXED.....
SERIALIZED.....	FILED.....
47 AUG 05 1976	
YORK	

CE [Redacted] JMR

b6
b7c

PAGE TWO

AND STREET DIRECTORIES TO ASCERTAIN LAST KNOWN ADDRESS OF THE TARGET ONLY. NO ADDITIONAL ATTEMPT IS TO BE MADE TO OBTAIN ADDRESS FOR THE TARGET OR TARGETS, AND UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD ANY INVESTIGATIVE ACTION BE INSTITUTED.

REFERENCED COMMUNICATIONS CONCERN ANONYMOUS LETTER MAILED TO [REDACTED] SETTING FORTH INFORMATION ABOUT [REDACTED], WHO WAS ARRESTED IN WASHINGTON, D. C., DURING DEMONSTRATION IN OCTOBER, 1968, WEARING CLOTHING DECORATED WITH OBSCENITY. SRC WOULD NOTIFY [REDACTED].

b6
b7C

UTILIZE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE NUMBER, AND RESPOND TO THIS COMMUNICATION BY AUGUST 11, 1976. INSURE ALL CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY.

END

FBI

Date:

8/10/76

27

CODED

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

TELETYPE

NITEL

Via _____
(Precedence)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (100-449698)

FROM: ADIC, NEW YORK (100-163303)

COINTELPRO-NEW LEFT (NL-374); BUDED: AUGUST 11, 1976

RE BUREAU NITEL TO NEW YORK, DATED AUGUST 6, 1976.

A SEARCH OF THE NEW YORK OFFICE INDICES RE [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] REFLECTS NOTHING IDENTIFIABLE.

^{SOURCES}
REVIEW OF ~~INDICES~~ MET WITH NEGATIVE RESULTS, AS TO POSSIBLE
EXPOSURE OF INFORMANTS OR SENSITIVE SOURCES AS DID A CHECK
CONCERNING PENDING LITIGATION REGARDING EITHER INDIVIDUAL.

RESULTS OF APPROPRIATE TELEPHONE AND STREET DIRECTORY
CHECKS MET WITH NEGATIVE RESULTS AS ~~TO EITHER OF THE ABOVE.~~
END.

① New York

1-Supervisor (#47)

JMS:twg

(2) *ling*

100-163303-418

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
AUG 10 1976	
FBI - NEW YORK	

[Signature]

Approved: *[Signature]*

Special Agent in Charge

Sent

WA-b2 5:33

M

Per

SKB

HQ0683 2442038

PP NY

DE HQ

P 311907Z AUG 76

FM DIRECTOR (100-449698)

TO NEW YORK (100-163303) PRIORITY

BT

E F T O

COINTELPRO-NEW LEFT (NL-6), BUDED: SEPTEMBER 3, 1976.


REURLET OCTOBER 10, 1969, AND BUREAU LETTER OCTOBER 15, 1969.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE (SRC) OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS AND HAS MADE A DETERMINATION THAT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED. THE ACTUAL NOTIFICATION WILL BE EFFECTED BY THE DEPARTMENT AND NO BUREAU PERSONNEL WILL BE INVOLVED. HOWEVER, THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS TO BE OBTAINED BY YOUR OFFICE TO ASSIST THE DEPARTMENT IN THEIR NOTIFICATION OF THE RESPECTIVE TARGETS:

1. WILL NOTIFICATION DISCLOSE THE IDENTITY OF AN INFORMANT OR COMPROMISE SENSITIVE SOURCES OR METHODS?
2. ANY CURRENT OR PENDING LITIGATION REGARDING TARGET IN

8/31/76
RSC

47



100-163303-419

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FBI - NEW YORK	

[Handwritten signature/initials over the stamp]

PAGE TWO (100-449698) E F T O

WHICH FBI OR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS AN INTERESTED PARTY.

3. A CHECK OF FIELD OFFICE INDICESBN APPROPRIATE TELEPHONE AND STREET DIRECTORIES TO ASCERTAIN LAST KNOWN ADDRESS OF THE TARGET ONLY. NO ADDITIONAL ATTEMPT IS TO BE MADE TO OBTAIN ADDRESS FOR THE TARGET OR TARGETS, AND UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD ANY INVESTIGATIVE ACTION BE INSTITUTED.

REFERENCES COMMUNICATIONS CONCERN ANONYMOUS LEAFLET DESIGNED TO WIDEN RIFT BETWEEN NEW MOBILIZATION COMMITTEE TO END THE WAR IN VIETNAM AND [REDACTED] OF THE b6
b7C BLACK UNITED FRONT OVER PLANNED MARCH IN WASHINGTON, D.C., NOVEMBER 15, 1969. SRC WOULD NOTIFY [REDACTED].

UTILIZE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING REFERENCE NUMBER, AND RESPOND TO THIS COMMUNICATION BY COB SEPTEMBER 3, 1976. INSURE ALL CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY.

BT

HQ0905 2532102

RR NY

DE HQ

R 092030Z SEP 76

FM DIRECTOR (100-449698)

TO NEW YORK (100-163303) ROUTINE

BT

CLEAR

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-5) BUDED: SEPTEMBER 14, 1976

RENYLET OCTOBER 2, 1969, BUREAU LET OCTOBER 8, 1969,

AND NYTEL APRIL 16, 1976.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE (SRC) OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS AND HAS MADE A DETERMINATION THAT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED. THE ACTUAL NOTIFICATION WILL BE EFFECTED BY THE DEPARTMENT AND NO BUREAU PERSONNEL WILL BE INVOLVED. HOWEVER, THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS TO BE OBTAINED BY YOUR OFFICE TO ASSIST THE DEPARTMENT IN THEIR NOTIFICATION OF THE RESPECTIVE TARGETS:

1. WILL NOTIFICATION DISCLOSE THE IDENTITY OF AN INFORMANT OR COMPROMISE SENSITIVE SOURCES OR METHODS?
2. ANY CURRENT OR PENDING LITIGATION REGARDING TARGET IN

9/9/76
BPC

~~HAAR~~

100-163303-420
SEARCHED
SERIALIZED
INDEXED
FILED
SEP 15 1976
FBI - NEW YORK
HAAR

PAGE TWO (100-449698) CLEAR

WHICH FBI OR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS AN INTERESTED PARTY.

3. A CHECK OF FIELD OFFICE INDICES, APPROPRIATE TELEPHONE AND STREET DIRECTORIES TO ASCERTAIN LAST KNOWN ADDRESS OF THE TARGET ONLY. NO ADDITIONAL ATTEMPT IS TO BE MADE TO OBTAIN ADDRESS FOR THE TARGET OR TARGETS, AND UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD ANY INVESTIGATIVE ACTION BE INSTITUTED.

REFERENCED COMMUNICATIONS CONCERN ANONYMOUS DISTRIBUTION OF LEAFLET TO 280 SELECTED INDIVIDUALS AND ORGANIZATIONS WITH PURPOSE OF WIDENING SPLIT BETWEEN VARIOUS NEW LEFT AND EXTREMIST BLACK GROUPS. LEAFLET INCLUDED NAME OF [REDACTED] [REDACTED] SRC WOULD NOTIFY [REDACTED].

b6
b7c

UTILIZE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE NUMBER, AND RESPOND TO THIS COMMUNICATION BY COB SEPTEMBER 14, 1976. INSURE ALL CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY.

BT

FBI

Date: 9/16/76

945

Transmit the following in CLEAR
(Type in plaintext or code)Via TELETYPE ROUTINE
(Precedence)

FM NEW YORK (100-163303)
TO DIRECTOR (100-449698) ROUTINE
BT

C L E A R

COINTELPRO-NEW LEFT (NL-5): BUDED: SEPTEMBER 14, 1976

RE BUREAU TELETYPE, DATED SEPTEMBER 9, 1976.

A SEARCH OF NEW YORK INDICES REVEALS THAT [REDACTED]
RESIDES AT [REDACTED] AS OF
APRIL, 1976.

A REVIEW OF INDICES AND APPROPRIATE FILES OF THE
NEW YORK OFFICE FAIL TO SHOW ANY PENDING LITIGATION BY
SUBJECT, NOR WILL NOTIFICATION DISCLOSE THE IDENTITY OF AN
INFORMANT OR COMPROMISE SENSITIVE SOURCES OR METHODS.

IT IS NOTED THAT [REDACTED] IS THE SUBJECT OF A CURRENT
INVESTIGATION, BUFILE 100-408985; NYFILE 100-118174.

BT

① New York
1-Sup (#29)

NFD:twg
(2)

W7

100-163303-431

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED

THO

Approved: [Signature]
Special Agent in Charge

Sent 0052 M Per [Signature]

HQ0105 3002130Z

RR NY

DE HQ

R 261955Z OCT 76

FM DIRECTOR (100-449698)

TO NEW YORK (100-163303) ROUTINE

BT

E F T O

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-380); BUDED: NOVEMBER 1, 1976.

RENYLET FEBRUARY 12, 1969, AND BUREAU LET FEBRUARY 27, 1969.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE (SRC) OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS AND HAS MADE A DETERMINATION THAT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED. THE ACTUAL NOTIFICATION WILL BE EFFECTED BY THE DEPARTMENT AND NO BUREAU PERSONNEL WILL BE INVOLVED. HOWEVER, THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS TO BE OBTAINED BY YOUR OFFICE TO ASSIST THE DEPARTMENT IN THEIR NOTIFICATION OF THE RESPECTIVE TARGETS:

1. WILL NOTIFICATION DISCLOSE THE IDENTITY OF AN INFORMANT OR COMPROMISE SENSITIVE SOURCES OR METHODS?
2. ANY CURRENT OR PENDING LITIGATION REGARDING TARGET IN WHICH FBI OR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS AN INTERESTED PARTY.

100-163303 - 422

29

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
OCT 9 1976	
FBI - NEW YORK	

CHN

PAGE TWO E F T O (100-449698)

3. A CHECK OF FIELD OFFICE INDICES, APPROPRIATE TELEPHONE AND STREET DIRECTORIES TO ASCERTAIN LAST KNOWN ADDRESS OF THE TARGET ONLY. NO ADDITIONAL ATTEMPT IS TO BE MADE TO OBTAIN ADDRESS FOR THE TARGET OR TARGETS, AND UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD ANY INVESTIGATIVE ACTION BE INSTITUTED. YOUR OFFICE SHOULD ALSO FURNISH ANY OTHER INFORMATION IN YOUR FILES WHICH WOULD MITIGATE AGAINST NOTIFICATION.

REFERENCED COMMUNICATIONS PERTAIN TO THE MAILING OF AN ANONYMOUS NOTE TO A UNIVERSITY AS WELL AS THE NEW YORK CITY AND STATE GOVERNMENTS POINTING OUT THE COMMUNIST BACKGROUND OF DR. LLOYD DELANEY AND [REDACTED]. SRC WOULD NOTIFY DELANEY AND [REDACTED]. YOUR RESPONSE TO THE ABOVE POINTS SHOULD DEAL ONLY WITH DELANEY AND [REDACTED].

UTILIZE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE NUMBER, WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY.

BT

100-145709

105-87962

b6
b7C

HQ0110 300222Z

RR NY

DE HQ

R 261950Z OCT 76

FM DIRECTOR (100-449698)

TO NEW YORK (100-163303) ROUTINE

BT

E F T O

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-377); BUDED: NOVEMBER 1, 1976.

RENYLET JANUARY 21, 1969, AND BUREAU LETTER JANUARY 24, 1969.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE (SRC) OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS AND HAS MADE A DETERMINATION THAT CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED. THE ACTUAL NOTIFICATION WILL BE EFFECTED BY THE DEPARTMENT AND NO BUREAU PERSONNEL WILL BE INVOLVED. HOWEVER, THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS TO BE OBTAINED BY YOUR OFFICE TO ASSIST THE DEPARTMENT IN THEIR NOTIFICATION OF THE RESPECTIVE TARGETS:

1. WILL NOTIFICATION DISCLOSE THE IDENTITY OF AN INFORMANT OR COMPROMISE SENSITIVE SOURCES OR METHODS?
2. ANY CURRENT OR PENDING LITIGATION REGARDING TARGET IN WHICH FBI OR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS AN INTERESTED PARTY.

100-163303-423

29

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
23	OCT 8 1976
FBI - NEW YORK	

PAGE TWO E F T O (100-449698)

3. A CHECK OF FIELD OFFICE INDICES, APPROPRIATE TELEPHONE AND STREET DIRECTORIES TO ASCERTAIN LAST KNOWN ADDRESS OF THE TARGET ONLY. NO ADDITIONAL ATTEMPT IS TO BE MADE TO OBTAIN ADDRESS FOR THE TARGET OR TARGETS, AND UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD ANY INVESTIGATIVE ACTION BE INSTITUTED. YOUR OFFICE SHOULD ALSO FURNISH ANY OTHER INFORMATION IN YOUR FILES WHICH WOULD MITIGATE AGAINST NOTIFICATION.

100-121672
REFERENCED COMMUNICATIONS CONCERN THE DISTRIBUTION OF A NEWSLETTER DESIGNED TO RIDICULE THE INAUGURAL ACTIVITIES OF DAVID DELLINGER. SRC WOULD NOTIFY DELLINGER. YOUR RESPONSE TO THE ABOVE POINTS SHOULD DEAL ONLY WITH DELLINGER.

UTILIZE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE NUMBER, WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY.

BT

FD-36 (Rev. 2-14-70)

FBI

11/8/76

Date: ~~10/28/76~~

*Pls
change
date*

Transmit the following in EFTO
(Type in plaintext or code)

TELETYPE ROUTINE

Via _____
(Precedence)

401

FM NEW YORK (100-163303)
TO DIRECTOR (100-449698) ROUTINE
BT
E F T O

*Sent to Room
Teletype 10/28/76*

COINTELPRO-NEW LEFT, (NL 377), (NL 380); BUDED: NOVEMBER 1, 1976
RE BUREAU TELETYPE, OCTOBER 26, 1976.

CONCERNING NL 377: ANSWER TO ITEM NUMBER ONE IS NO; ITEM
NUMBER TWO - NEW YORK OFFICE HAS NO INFORMATION RE LITIGATION
SUBSEQUENT TO DECEMBER 4, 1973 CONTEMPT CONVICTION REFLECTED
IN REPORT OF SPECIAL AGENT (SA) [REDACTED], DATED DECEMBER
20, 1973, AT CHICAGO. THIS CONVICTION WAS APPEALED, BUT UPHELD
ON SEPTEMBER 6, 1974; ITEM NUMBER THREE - NEW YORK OFFICE INDICES
REFLECT MOST RECENT ADDRESS, 165 GARFIELD PLACE, BROOKLYN, NEW
YORK IN OCTOBER, 1975. TELEPHONE DIRECTORIES NEGATIVE.

b6
b7C

CONCERNING NL 380: LLOYD T. DELANY DECEASED NOVEMBER, 1969.
RE [REDACTED]: ITEM NUMBER ONE - ANSWER IS NO; ITEM
NUMBER TWO - NO LITIGATION KNOWN TO NEW YORK OFFICE; ITEM NUMBER
THREE - NEW YORK OFFICE INDICES REFLECT MOST CURRENT ADDRESSES

b6
b7C

1-NEW YORK
1-SUPV. 29

GHS:rr
(2)

100-163303-424

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
OCT 11 1976	

b6
b7C

Approved: *[Signature]*
Special Agent in Charge

Sent 104 M Per 80

FBI

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via _____
(Precedence)

PAGE TWO NY 100-163303 E F T O

AS

(FEBRUARY, 1976) AND

(MAY, 1969). CURRENT NEW YORK CITY TELEPHONE DIRECTORY

REFLECTS FOR SAME NAME.

BT

b6
b7C

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

HQ0489 3132028

RR NY

DE HQ

R 081910Z NOV 76

FM DIRECTOR (100-449698)

TO NEW YORK (100-163303) ROUTINE

BT

E F T O

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-376) BUDED: NOVEMBER 12, 1976.

RENYLET TO BUREAU JANUARY 20, 1969, AND BULET TO NEW YORK
JANUARY 31, 1969.

A SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE K(SRC) OF THE DEPARTMENT OF
JUSTICE IS CONDUCTING A SURVEY OF A NUMBER OF OUR DISCONTINUED
COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS AND HAS MADE A DETERMINATION THAT
CERTAIN TARGETS SHOULD BE NOTIFIED. THE ACTUAL NOTIFICATION
WILL BE EFFECTED BY THE DEPARTMENT AND NO BUREAU PERSONNEL
WILL BE INVOLVED. HOWEVER, THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS TO
BE OBTAINED BY YOUR OFFICE TO ASSIST THE DEPARTMENT IN THEIR
NOTIFICATION OF THE RESPECTIVE TARGETS:

1. WILL NOTIFICATION DISCLOSE THE IDENTITY OF AN INFOR-

Al Handalla
Note BUDED

100-163303-425

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
29 NOV 8 1976	
FBI - NEW YORK	

b6
b7c

PAGE TWO (100-449698) E F T O

MANT OR COMPROMISE SENSITIVE SOURCES OR METHODS?

2. ANY CURRENT OR PENDING LITIGATION REGARDING TARGET IN WHICH THE FBI OR DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE IS AN INTERESTED PARTY.

3. A CHECK OF FIELD OFFICE INDICES, APPROPRIATE TELEPHONE AND STREET DIRECTORIES TO ASCERTAIN LAST KNOWN ADDRESS OF THE TARGET ONLY. NO ADDITIONAL ATTEMPT IS TO BE MADE TO OBTAIN ADDRESS FOR THE TARGET OR TARGETS, AND UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD ANY INVESTIGATIVE ACTION BE INSTITUTED. YOUR OFFICE SHOULD ALSO FURNISH ANY OTHER INFORMATION IN YOUR FILES WHICH WOULD MITIGATE AGAINST NOTIFICATION.

REFERENCED COMMUNICATIONS REFER TO THE FURNISHING OF A COPY OF A LETTER WRITTEN BY [REDACTED] TO AN OFFICIAL OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION IN NEW YORK CITY. SRC WOULD NOTIFY [REDACTED].

b6
b7c

UTILIZE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE NUMBER, WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THIS MATTER IS DESIGNATED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY.

BT

FBI

Date: 11/12/76

552

Transmit the following in _____

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

(Type in plaintext or code)

Via TELETYPEROUTINE

(Precedence)

FM NEW YORK (100-163303)

TO DIRECTOR (100-449698) ROUTINE

BT

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

COINTELPRO - NEW LEFT (NL-376), BUDED: NOV. 12, 1976

RE BUREAU TELETYPE TO NEW YORK, NOV. 8, 1976.

1. YES. [] WAS UTILIZED BY THE NYO AS AN
ESTABLISHED SOURCE ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS PRIOR TO []

b6
b7C
b7D

[] IT IS THE OPINION OF THE NYO THAT NOTIFICATION OF THIS
ACTION TO TARGET WOULD DISCLOSE THE IDENTITY OF [] WHICH SHOULD
BE AVOIDED.

2. NONE KNOWN

3. PER NYO FILE, [] LAST KNOWN ADDRESS WAS []

b6
b7C

[] AS OF JUNE 14, 1973. A CHECK OF THE
CURRENT NYC TELEPHONE DIRECTORY, FOR [] MET WITH

① - New York
1 - Supv. #23

JMS:mmm
(2)

#23

Classified by 5518
Exempt from GDS, Category 2
Date of Declassification Indefinite
Retain Classification
WFL 4/2/78

100-163303-426

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
NOV 11 1976	
FBI - NEW YORK	

Approved: [Signature]

Special Agent in Charge

Sent 2309M Per [Signature]

FBI

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via _____
(Precedence)

PAGE TWO NY 100-163303 ~~C O N F I D E N T I A L~~

NEGATIVE RESULTS.

~~CLASSIFIED BY 1434, XGBS 2, INDEFINITE.~~

BT

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____
Special Agent in Charge

PT 9/14/77

HQ0832 2452146

RR NY

DE HQ

R 022120Z SEP 77

FM DIRECTOR (100-449698-34)

TO NEW YORK (100-163303) ROUTINE

BT

E F T O

COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM; NEW LEFT (NL 13), BUDED
SEPTEMBER 16, 1977.

REBUTEL JUNE 24, 1977, CAPTIONED "COUNTERINTELLIGENCE
PROGRAM (COINTELPRO)," NYLETS JULY 31, 1970, SEPTEMBER 30,
1970, AND DECEMBER 30, 1970, AND BULET AUGUST 13, 1970.

AUTHORITY WAS GRANTED IN BULET OF AUGUST 13, 1970, TO
MAIL AN ANONYMOUS LEAFLET TO SELECT INDIVIDUALS IN THE
PROGRESSIVE LABOR PARTY (PLP) IN THE NEW YORK AREA AND OTHER
PARTS OF THE COUNTRY TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF A FACTIONAL SPLIT
IN THE RANKS OF THE PLP WITH PARTICULAR REGARD TO RECENT
STATEMENTS OF [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]. [REDACTED] MADE STATEMENTS INDICATING [REDACTED]

SPECIAL REVIEW COMMITTEE WOULD NOTIFY [REDACTED] AND

b6
b7C

23

23

100-163303-427

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
SEP 2 1977	
FBI-NEW YORK	

b6
b7C

PAGE TWO E F T O

, WHO WERE SUBJECTS OF THE CARTOON UTILIZED
IN THE ANONYMOUS MAILING.

b6
b7C

UTILIZE THE ABOVE CAPTION, INCLUDING THE REFERENCE
NUMBER, WHEN RESPONDING TO THIS COMMUNICATION. INSURE ALL
CORRESPONDENCE IN CONNECTION WITH THE MATTER IS DESIGNATED
FOR THE FIELD OFFICE FILE ON THE APPROPRIATE COINTELPRO ONLY.
SUTEL RESULTS TO THE BUREAU NO LATER THAN SEPTEMBER 16, 1977.

BT

FBI

TRANSMIT VIA:

☒ Teletype
☐ Facsimile
☐ Airtel

PRECEDENCE:

☐ Immediate
☐ Priority
☒ Routine

CLASSIFICATION:

☐ TOP SECRET
☐ SECRET
☐ CONFIDENTIAL
☒ E F T O
☐ CLEAR

Date 9/15/77

b7D

FM NEW YORK (100-163303) (23)

TO DIRECTOR (100-449698-34) ROUTINE

BT

E F T O

COUNTERINTELLIGENCE PROGRAM; NEW LEFT (NL13), BUDED: SEPTEMBER
 16, 1977.

RE BUREAU TELETYPE, SEPTEMBER 2, 1977.

1. NO

2. NONE KNOWN

3. PER NYO FILE, [REDACTED] LAST KNOWN (APRIL,
 1976) ADDRESS WAS [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] (BELIEVED TO BE IDENTICAL TO [REDACTED])

[REDACTED] BUFILE 100-432251; NYFILE 100-138651) LAST
 KNOWN (AUGUST, 1974) ADDRESS WAS [REDACTED]

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] CURRENT (AUGUST, 1977) COLES MANHATTAN ADDRESS
 TELEPHONE DIRECTORY HAS LISTING FOR ONE [REDACTED] AT
 ABOVE ADDRESS.

BT

 1 - NEW YORK
 1 - SUPV. #23
 DAS:KM

(2)

100-163303-428

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
SEP 15 1977	
FBI - NEW YORK	

Approved: [Signature]Transmitted 0126-HG p.m.
(Number) (Time)Per C. R. G.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
FOIPA
DELETED PAGE INFORMATION SHEET

No Duplication Fees are charged for Deleted Page Information Sheet(s).

Total Deleted Page(s) ~ 79

Page 3 ~ Duplicate File# 100-NY-163303 Sect. 1

Page 4 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698 Sect. 3, pg 36

Page 5 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-15 Sect. 1, Ser. 44 (RR)

Page 6 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 91 (RR)

Page 7 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 91 (RR)

Page 8 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 91 (RR)

Page 9 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26, Sect. 2, Pgs 135-147 (RR)

Page 10 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26, Sect. 2, Pgs 135-147 (RR)

Page 11 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26, Sect. 2, Pgs 135-147 (RR)

Page 12 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26, Sect. 2, Pgs 135-147 (RR)

Page 13 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26, Sect. 2, Pgs 135-147 (RR)

Page 14 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26, Sect. 2, Pgs 135-147 (RR)

Page 15 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26, Sect. 2, Pgs 135-147 (RR)

Page 16 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26, Sect. 2, Pgs 135-147 (RR)

Page 17 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26, Sect. 2, Pgs 135-147 (RR)

Page 18 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26, Sect. 2, Pgs 135-147 (RR)

Page 19 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26, Sect. 2, Pgs 135-147 (RR)

Page 20 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26, Sect. 2, Pgs 135-147 (RR)

Page 21 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26, Sect. 2, Pgs 135-147 (RR)

Page 22 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26, Sect. 2, Pgs 135-147 (RR)

Page 23 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26 Sect. 2, pg 148 (RR)

Page 24 ~ Duplicate FOIA# 116File# 100-LA-71737 Sect. 2, Ser. 195 pg2

Page 25 ~ Duplicate FOIA# 1160095-000, File# 100-LA-71737 Sect. 2

Ser

Page 26 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26 Sect. 2, pg 151 (RR)

Page 37 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 92 (RR)

Page 49 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 90 (RR)

Page 51 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 93 (RR)

Page 52 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 93 (RR)

Page 53 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 93 (RR)

Page 54 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 92 (RR)

Page 55 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698 Sect. 3, Ser. 997 (RR)

Page 56 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698 Sect. 3, Ser. 997 (RR)

Page 57 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26 Sect. 2, Ser. 58 pg 160-165

Page 58 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26 Sect. 2, Ser. 58 pg 160-165

Page 59 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26 Sect. 2, Ser. 58 pg 160-165

Page 60 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26 Sect. 2, Ser. 58 pg 160-165

Page 61 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26 Sect. 2, Ser. 58 pg 160-165

Page 62 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-26 Sect. 2, Ser. 58 pg 160-165

Page 63 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ- 449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 94 (RR)

Page 64 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ- 449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 94 (RR)

Page 65 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ- 449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 94 (RR)

Page 66 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-45 Sec. 1, Ser. 80 (RR)

Page 67 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-45 Sec. 1, Ser. 80 (RR)

Page 68 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 95 (RR)
Page 69 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 95 (RR)
Page 70 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 95 (RR)
Page 74 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-45 Sect. 1, Ser. 81 (RR)
Page 75 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-53 Sect. 1, Ser. 50 (RR)
Page 76 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ- 449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 95 (RR)
Page 77 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ- 449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 95 (RR)
Page 78 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-45 Sect. 1, Ser. 82 (RR)
Page 79 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-45 Sect. 1, Ser. 82 (RR)
Page 80 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-45 Sect. 1, Ser. 82 (RR)
Page 81 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-45 Sect. 1, Ser. 82 (RR)
Page 82 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-53 Sect. 1, Ser. 51 (RR)
Page 83 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-53 Sect. 1, Ser. 51 (RR)
Page 84 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-53 Sect. 1, Ser. 51 (RR)
Page 85 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 96 (RR)
Page 86 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 96 (RR)
Page 87 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 96 (RR)
Page 88 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 97 (RR)
Page 89 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 97 (RR)
Page 90 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698 Sect. 4, Ser. 1003 (RR)
Page 92 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 100 (RR)
Page 93 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 100 (RR)
Page 94 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 98 (RR)
Page 95 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 98 (RR)
Page 96 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-34 Sect. 2, Ser. 98 (RR)
Page 97 ~ Duplicate File# 100-HQ-449698-1 Sect. 1, Ser. 19, Pg3 (RR)
Page 99 ~ Duplicate 1160095-000 File# 100-LA-71737 Sect. 2, Ser. 207
Page 100 ~ Duplicate 1160095-000 File# 100-LA-71737 Sect. 2, Ser. 207
Page 101 ~ Duplicate 1160095-000 File# 100-LA-71737 Sect. 2, Ser. 207
Page 102 ~ Duplicate 1160095-000 File# 100-LA-71737 Sect. 2, Ser. 207
Page 103 ~ Duplicate 1160095-000 File# 100-LA-71737 Sect. 2, Ser. 207
Page 104 ~ Duplicate 1160095-000 File# 100-LA-71737 Sect. 2, Ser. 209
Page 105 ~ Duplicate 1160095-000 File# 100-LA-71737 Sect. 2, Ser. 209
Page 106 ~ Duplicate 1160095-000 File# 100-LA-71737 Sect. 2, Ser. 209
Page 115 ~ Duplicate 1160095-000 File# 100-LA-71737 Sect. 2, Ser. 210
Page 116 ~ Duplicate 1160095-000 File# 100-LA-71737 Sect. 2, Ser. 210